

UNCLASSIFIED

---

AD 289 264

*Reproduced  
by the*

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY  
ARLINGTON HALL STATION  
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



---


UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

289 264

63-1-4

289 264



ON THE METHOD OF GREEN'S FUNCTION  
IN THE  
THERMOELASTIC THEORY OF SHALLOW SHELLS

BY

R. P. NORDGREN

CATALOGED BY ASTIA  
AS AD NO.




APPLIED  
MECHANICS

SERIES 131  
ISSUE 16

OFFICE OF  
NAVAL  
RESEARCH  
PROJECT-NR 064-436  
CONTRACT  
NONR-222(09)

TECHNICAL  
REPORT NO. 16



AUGUST 1962

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract Nonr-222(69)

Project NR-064-436

Technical Report No. 16

ON THE METHOD OF GREEN'S FUNCTION IN  
THE THERMOELASTIC THEORY OF SHALLOW SHELLS

By

R. P. Nordgren

August 1962

Series 131, Issue 16  
Institute of Engineering Research  
University of California  
Berkeley, California

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the  
United States Government

ON THE METHOD OF GREEN'S FUNCTION IN  
THE THERMOELASTIC THEORY OF SHALLOW SHELLS

By

R. P. Nordgren

Abstract

The method of Green's function is applied to the quasi-static thermoelastic theory of shallow shells with heat conduction equations included. Solution formulas are derived for middle-surface displacements and stress and temperature resultants in terms of initial and edge temperatures, internal heat sources, ambient temperatures at the upper and lower surfaces, and surface tractions. Equations are given for the Green's functions appearing in the solution formulas. Extension to more general shell theory is discussed. By way of example, the method is applied to thermoelastic problems for two classes of shallow shells. Also, the effect of transverse shear deformation is examined with reference to a shallow spherical shell.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper applies the method of Green's function to quasi-static thermoelastic problems in the theory of shallow shells. Thermoelastic equations for shallow shells follow from the original work of Marguerre (1938)\* upon addition of the effect of thermal expansion. The two temperature resultants appearing in these equations are governed by two-dimensional heat conduction equations derived by Bolotin (1960) for thin shells. For flat plates Bolotin's equations reduce to those of Marguerre (1935)

---

\* The nonlinear terms contained in Marguerre's equations will not be included here.

and for shallow shells they are independent of the form of the shell middle surface.

Employing the indicated equations, solution formulas are derived which express the middle-surface displacements and the stress and temperature resultants in terms of the initial temperature, edge temperature, heat sources within the shell, and ambient temperatures at the upper and lower surfaces. The two sets of Green's functions in these integral solution formulas are shown to satisfy the combined thermoelastic equations with the two resultant heat-supply terms replaced by  $\delta$ -functions. A related solution formula for shallow shells under surface and edge traction is also obtained, together with equations for the Green's functions appearing in it.

The solution formulas obtained extend immediately to a simplified theory of shells (sometimes referred to as the "technical" theory of shells) presented, e.g., by Green and Zerna (1954). Extension to more general shell theory is possible and is discussed. The method of derivation of the thermoelastic solution formulas is applicable to other special theories of elasticity as well as to the three-dimensional theory. In this connection it should be recalled that Parkus (1959, p. 13) gives a solution formula for stress in quasi-static thermoelastic problems on the basis of analogy and an intuitive argument. Also, integral formulas for several thermoelastic problems with unspecified temperature distribution are derived by Goodier (1958) and Goodier and Nevill (1961). Singular solutions for shallow spherical and cylindrical shells with concentrated temperature resultants are given by Flügge and Conrad (1956, 1958).<sup>\*\*</sup>

The solution formulas derived here are applied to thermoelastic problems for two classes of shallow shells, namely, unlimited shallow shells with quadratic middle surfaces and rectangular shallow shells with simply supported edges parallel to the principal axis of quadratic middle surfaces. With the aid of a method of spectral representation established by Friedman (1956), the thermoelastic Green's functions are obtained for the former class in the form of a Fourier series of Hankel transform integrals and for the latter class in the form of convergent double Fourier series. Both representations

---

<sup>\*\*</sup> Previously, one of these singular solutions for a shallow cylindrical shell was proposed by Rabotnov (1946) as the solution for a concentrated normal force. However, an algebraic mistake occurred and, as shown by Flügge and Conrad (1958), the concentrated force solution cannot be represented by a singularity of this type.

appear suited to numerical evaluation. For three members of the class of unlimited shallow shells, the Green's function is evaluated at the source point as a function of time in terms of tabulated functions and comparison is made with the dynamic thermoelastic Green's functions for bending of a flat plate. An example of edge heating is studied for the class of rectangular shallow shells.

In the last section, the effect of transverse shear deformation is examined with reference to an unlimited shallow spherical shell (parabaloid of revolution) under specified temperature field and normal surface traction. A quasi-static solution is obtained by the method of Green's function with use of the extended definition of the Laplacian operator following Friedman (1956). The character of the fundamental singularities is compared with that of previous solutions by Reissner (1946) and by Flügge and Conrad (1956) according to classical theory, which neglects the effect of transverse shear deformation.

## 2. QUASI-STATIC THERMOELASTIC THEORY OF SHELLS

2.1 A Solution Formula for Heat Conduction. The two-dimensional heat conduction equations for thin shells derived recently by Bolotin (1960) from a general variational principle for three-dimensional isotropic (uncoupled) heat conduction with linear heat transfer at the boundary may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 \theta_N - \eta_N \theta_N - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial \theta_N}{\partial t} &= - Q_N, \\ \nabla^2 \theta_M - \eta_M \theta_M - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial \theta_M}{\partial t} &= - Q_M,\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_N &= \frac{2H}{hK}, \quad \eta_M = \frac{12}{h^2} + \frac{6H}{hK}, \quad \kappa = \frac{K}{\rho c}, \\ \theta_N &= \frac{1}{h} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{+\frac{h}{2}} T dx^3, \quad \theta_M = \frac{12}{h^3} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{+\frac{h}{2}} T x^3 dx^3,\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}Q_M &= \frac{1}{Kh} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{+\frac{h}{2}} Q dx^3 + \frac{H}{hK} \left( \tilde{T}_+ + \tilde{T}_- \right), \\ Q_N &= \frac{12}{Kh^3} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{+\frac{h}{2}} Q x^3 dx^3 + \frac{6H}{h^2 K} \left( \tilde{T}_+ + \tilde{T}_- \right).\end{aligned}$$

In (2.1) the Laplacian is  $\nabla^2 = a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta$ , where the covariant derivative operator  $\nabla_\alpha$  refers to a curvilinear coordinate system  $x^\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2$ ) on the middle surface of the shell with metric  $a_{\alpha\beta}$  and conjugate metric  $a^{\alpha\beta}$ , the summation convention being understood. The thickness of the shell is denoted by  $h$  and  $x^3$  is the coordinate normal to the middle surface. The temperature resultants  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta_M$  are expressed in (2.2) as integrals of the temperature  $T$  (above a fixed reference temperature), and the derivation by Bolotin (1960) is based on the assumption

$$T = \theta_N(x^\alpha) + x^3 \theta_M(x^\alpha). \quad (2.3)$$

The heat inputs  $Q_M$  and  $Q_N$  arise either from internal heat sources of rate  $Q$  per unit time per unit volume or from heat flux across the upper and lower surfaces of the shell where the ambient temperatures are  $\tilde{T}_+$  and  $\tilde{T}_-$ , respectively. The surface conductance  $H$ , thermal conductivity  $K$ , specific heat  $c$ , and mass density  $\rho$  are assumed independent of temperature as well as coordinates. On the boundary curve  $C$  of the shell middle surface the temperature resultants may be specified, i.e.,

$$\theta_N = \bar{\theta}_N, \quad \theta_M = \bar{\theta}_M, \quad \text{on } C \quad (2.4)$$

which is a special case of the linear heat transfer edge conditions given by Bolotin (1960). In addition,  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta_M$  must satisfy the initial conditions

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta_N(x^\alpha, t) = \theta_N^\circ(x^\alpha), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta_M(x^\alpha, t) = \theta_M^\circ(x^\alpha), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $T^\circ = \theta_N^\circ + x^3 \theta_M^\circ$  is the initial temperature of the shell.

Since the heat conduction equations (2.1), (2.4), and (2.5) are of the same form for  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta_M$ , we need only discuss solutions for  $\theta_N$ ; solutions for  $\theta_M$  then follow merely by replacing the subscript  $N$  by  $M$ . We shall use the linear vector space of all functions  $\theta_N$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty dt \int_R \theta_N^2 dS < \infty, \quad (2.6)$$

and the scalar product of two functions  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta'_N$  in this space is defined as

$$\int_0^\infty dt \int_R \theta_N \theta'_N dS, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $dS$  denotes the element of surface area on the shell middle surface.



Equation (2.1) contains the operator

$$L_N = \nabla^2 - \eta_N - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad (2.8)$$

whose domain will be the set of all functions  $\theta_N$  in the vector space such that  $\partial\theta_N/\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta$  and  $\partial\theta_N/\partial t$  are piecewise continuous and of integrable square and such that  $\theta_N$  satisfies the zero initial condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta_N = 0$  and the homogeneous boundary condition  $\theta_N = 0$  on  $C$ . With the aid of Green's theorem for surfaces, we may establish the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty dt \int_R (\theta_N^* L_N \theta_N - \theta_N L_N^* \theta_N^*) dS = \\ & = \int_0^\infty dt \int_C n^\alpha (\theta_N^* \nabla_\alpha \theta_N - \theta_N \nabla_\alpha \theta_N^*) dS \\ & + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_R \left[ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta_N \theta_N^* - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta_N \theta_N^* \right] dS, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $n^\alpha$  is the normal to  $C$  and

$$L_N^* = \nabla^2 - \eta_N + \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad (2.10)$$

is the operator adjoint to  $L_N$  whose domain is the same as that of  $L_N$ , except that instead of a zero initial condition,  $\lim_{t' \rightarrow \infty} \theta_N^* = 0$ . Thus, the right-hand

side of (2.10) vanishes when  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta_N^*$  are in the domains of  $L_N$  and  $L_N^*$ , respectively. The definition of  $L_N$  may be extended to functions  $\theta_N''$  not in the domain of  $L_N$  by letting

$$\int_0^\infty dt \int_R \theta_N L_N \theta_N'' dS = \int_0^\infty dt \int_R \theta_N'' L_N^* \theta_N dS, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $L_N \theta_N''$  may be a symbolic function.

We shall show that the Green's function for  $L_N$  is the actual or symbolic function  $G_N(x, t; x', t')$  which satisfies

$$L_N G_N(x, t; x', t') = -\delta(x - x') \delta(t - t'), \quad (2.12a)$$

$$G_N(x, t; x', t') = 0 \quad \text{if } t < t', \quad (2.12b)$$

$$G_N(x, t; x', t') = 0, \quad x \text{ on } C, \quad (2.12c)$$

where  $\delta(x - x')$  is the two-dimensional  $\delta$ -function and  $\delta(t - t')$  the one-dimensional  $\delta$ -function.

Replacement of  $t$  by  $-t$  in (2.12) results in

$$L_N^* G_N^*(x, t; x'', t'') = -\delta(x-x'')\delta(t-t'') \quad (2.13a)$$

$$G_N^*(x, t; x'', t'') = 0 \quad \text{if } t \geq t'', \quad (2.13b)$$

$$G_N^*(x, t; x'', t'') = 0, \quad x \text{ on } C, \quad (2.13c)$$

where

$$G_N^*(x, t; x'', t'') = G_N(x, -t; x'', t'') \quad (2.14)$$

can be shown to be the Green's function for the adjoint operator  $L_N^*$ . Identification of  $\theta_N$  with  $G_N(x, t; x', t')$  and  $\theta_N^*$  with  $G_N^*(x, t; x'', t'')$  in (2.9) leads to the relation

$$G_N(x'', t''; x', t') = G_N^*(x', t'; x'', t''), \quad (2.15)$$

while identification of  $\theta_N^*$  with  $G_N^*(x, t; x', t')$  and use of (2.15) results in the following solution formula for  $\theta_N$  in terms of its initial values, boundary values, and  $Q_N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_N(x, t) = & \int_0^t dt' \int_{R'} G_N(x, t; x', t') Q_N(x', t') dS' \\ & - \int_0^t dt' \int_{C'} n^\alpha(x') \nabla_\alpha G_N(x, t; x', t') \theta_N(x', t') ds' \\ & + \int_{R'} G_N(x, t; x', 0) \theta_N(x', 0) dS'. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

A formula for  $\theta_M$  follows by replacing subscript  $N$  by  $M$  in (2.16).

**2.2 A Solution Formula for Shallow Shells.** We recall that the stress differential equations of equilibrium for shallow shells may be written as

$$\nabla_\beta N^{\alpha\beta} + p^\alpha = 0, \quad (2.17a)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta z N^{\alpha\beta} + \nabla_\alpha Q^\alpha + p = 0, \quad (2.17b)$$

$$\nabla_\beta M^{\alpha\beta} - Q^\alpha = 0, \quad (2.17c)$$

where  $N^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $Q^\alpha$ ,  $M^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $p^\alpha$  and  $p$  are the stress resultants, shear stress resultants, stress couple resultants, tangential surface tractions, and normal surface

traction, respectively;  $Z$  is the distance of the shell middle surface from a reference plane, and the covariant differentiation  $\nabla_\alpha$  now refers to a curvilinear coordinate system  $x^\alpha$  in the reference plane. The strains  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$  and changes in curvature  $\kappa_{\alpha\beta}$  of the middle surface of the shallow shell are given by

$$2\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\beta v_\alpha + \nabla_\alpha v_\beta - 2\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta Z w, \quad (2.18a)$$

$$\kappa_{\alpha\beta} = -\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta w, \quad (2.18b)$$

where  $v_\alpha$  and  $w$  are the tangential and normal displacements of the middle surface of the shell.\* The constitutive equations for isotropic homogeneous shallow shells, with the effect of thermal expansion included and the effect of transverse shear deformation neglected, take the form

$$N^{\alpha\beta} = A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \gamma_{\lambda\eta} - \frac{Eh}{(1-\nu)} a^{\alpha\beta} \alpha_\theta N, \quad (2.19a)$$

$$M^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{h^2}{12} A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \kappa_{\lambda\eta} - \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu)} a^{\alpha\beta} \alpha_\theta M, \quad (2.19b)$$

where

$$A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} = \frac{Eh}{(1-\nu^2)} \left[ \nu a^{\alpha\beta} a^{\lambda\eta} + \frac{(1-\nu)}{2} (a^{\alpha\lambda} a^{\beta\eta} + a^{\alpha\eta} a^{\beta\lambda}) \right] \quad (2.20)$$

and Young's modulus  $E$ , Poisson's ratio  $\nu$  and the coefficient of linear thermal expansion  $\alpha$  are assumed independent of temperature as well as coordinates. Upon substitution of (2.18) and (2.19) into (2.17) we obtain the relation

$$Q^\alpha = \frac{h^2}{12} A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_\beta \kappa_{\lambda\eta} - \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu)} \alpha a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \theta_M, \quad (2.21)$$

and the displacement equations of equilibrium

$$A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \left[ \nabla_\eta \nabla_\beta v_\lambda - \nabla_\beta (w \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta Z) \right] - \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \theta_N + p^\alpha = 0, \quad (2.22a)$$

$$- D \nabla^2 \nabla^2 w + \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta Z A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \left[ \nabla_\eta v_\lambda - \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta Z w \right] - \quad (2.22b)$$

---

\* Marguerre (1938) and Green and Zerna (1954) employ displacements normal and parallel to the reference plane (axial and longitudinal displacements) which are related to the normal and tangential displacements  $w$  and  $v_\alpha$  used here and in other works by  $w$  and  $v_\alpha - \nabla_\alpha Z w$ , respectively, to within the approximations inherent in the theory of shallow shells. Also, the second fundamental form of the shell middle surface has the coefficient  $b_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta Z$  to within the accuracy of shallow shell theory.

$$-\alpha' (\nabla^2 z_N + \frac{h^2}{12} \nabla^2 z_M) + p = 0, \quad (2.22b)$$

where

$$D = \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}, \quad \alpha' = \frac{Eh\alpha}{(1-\nu)}.$$

With the aid of (2.17) and (2.18) and the divergence theorem, it is easy to establish the relation

$$\int_R (N^{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\alpha\beta} + M^{\alpha\beta} \kappa_{\alpha\beta}) dS = \int_R (p^\alpha v_\alpha + pw) dS + I, \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$I = \int_C (N^{\alpha\beta} v_\beta - M^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta w + Q^\alpha w) n_\alpha ds, \quad (2.24)$$

and  $n_\alpha$  is now the normal to the curve  $C$  bounding the region  $R$  occupied by the projection of the shell middle surface onto the plane of the coordinates  $x^\alpha$ . In the classical theory of shallow shells, since the effect of transverse shear deformation is neglected, the line integral in (2.24) should be written in an alternate form to reflect the fact that only four boundary conditions may be prescribed on  $C$ . Thus, following Green and Zerna (1954), we write the components of the couple resultant on  $C$  for directions normal and tangential to  $C$  as

$$H_N = M^{\alpha\beta} n_\alpha n_\beta, \quad H_T = \epsilon_{\beta\lambda} M^{\alpha\beta} n_\alpha n^\lambda, \quad (2.25)$$

respectively, and for future convenience we do the same for the components of stress resultant and tangential displacement, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} N_N &= N^{\alpha\beta} n_\alpha n_\beta, & N_T &= \epsilon_{\beta\lambda} N^{\alpha\beta} n_\alpha n^\lambda, \\ v_N &= v_\beta n^\beta, & v_T &= \epsilon^{\beta\lambda} n_\lambda v_\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where  $\epsilon_{\beta\lambda}$  and  $\epsilon^{\beta\lambda}$  are tensors of the  $\epsilon$ -systems. Denoting the derivatives along the normal to  $C$  by  $\partial/\partial n$  and  $\partial/\partial s$ , respectively, and defining the perhaps symbolic derivative\*\*  $\frac{\partial H_T}{\partial s}$  through

$$\int_C \frac{\partial H_T}{\partial s} w ds = - \int_C H_T \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} ds, \quad (2.27)$$

---

\*\* See Friedman (1956), pp. 140-142.

(2.24) becomes

$$I = \int_C \left[ N_N v_N - N_T v_T - H_N \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} + Vw \right] ds, \quad (2.28)$$

where

$$V = Q^\alpha n_\alpha + \frac{\partial H_T}{\partial s} \quad (2.29)$$

may be interpreted as the total shear resultant on C. If  $H_T$  has a jump discontinuity of magnitude  $\Delta H_T$  at  $s = s'$ , then by (2.27) and (2.29)

$$V = Q^\alpha n_\alpha + \frac{\partial_0 H_T}{\partial s} + \Delta H_T \delta(s-s'), \quad (2.30)$$

where  $\frac{\partial_0}{\partial s}$  denotes differentiation in the ordinary (not symbolic) sense. The last term in (2.30) represents a concentrated load which is a well-known result in classical shell (and plate) theory. Appropriate boundary conditions for shallow shells are apparent from (2.28) and may be listed as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{either } N_N = N_N^* & \text{or } v_N = v_N^*, \\ \text{either } N_T = N_T^* & \text{or } v_T = v_T^*, \\ \text{either } H_N = H_N^* & \text{or } \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} = \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} \right)^*, \\ \text{either } V = V^* & \text{or } w = w^*, \end{array} \quad (2.31)$$

on C, where the starred quantities are boundary values. In a later section we shall consider an example in which

$$N_N = N_N^*, \quad H_N = H_N^*, \quad v_T = w = 0, \quad \text{on } C, \quad (2.32)$$

and these boundary conditions will be used to illustrate the method of the present section. By (2.19), (2.23), and (2.28), the reciprocity theorem (Green's formula) for shallow shells is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_R \left[ p'^\alpha v_\alpha + p'w + \alpha' (\theta_N'^\lambda \gamma_\lambda + \frac{h^2}{12} \theta_M'^\lambda \kappa_\lambda) \right] dS \\ & + \int_C \left[ N_N' v_N - N_T' v_T - H_N' \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} + V' w \right] ds \\ & = \int_R \left[ p^\alpha v_\alpha' + p w' + \alpha' (\theta_N^\lambda \gamma_\lambda' + \frac{h^2}{12} \theta_M^\lambda \kappa_\lambda') \right] dS \\ & + \int_C \left[ N_N v_N' - N_T v_T' - H_N \frac{\partial w'}{\partial n} + V w' \right] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where primed and unprimed variables denote any two solutions of the shallow shell equations. The thermoelastic reciprocity theorem (2.33) may also be reached from the isothermal reciprocity theorem [ $\theta_M = \theta_N = 0$  in (2.33)] by means of an analogy between the isothermal equations and the thermoelastic equations of shallow shells.<sup>+</sup> By (2.19) to (2.22), the analogy may be written

$$\begin{aligned} p_o^\alpha &= p^\alpha - \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \theta_N, \\ p_o &= p - \alpha' (\nabla^2 \theta_N + \frac{h^2}{12} \nabla^2 \theta_M) \\ N_o^{\alpha\beta} &= N^{\alpha\beta} + \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \theta_M, \\ M_o^{\alpha\beta} &= M^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{h^2}{12} \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \theta_M, \\ Q_o^\alpha &= Q^\alpha + \frac{h^2}{12} \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \theta_M, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

where subscript zero denotes a variable in the isothermal equations. As an aid in discussing solutions of (2.22) it is convenient to denote components of the middle surface displacement vector by  $U_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) where

$$U_\alpha = v_\alpha, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2), \quad U_3 = w, \quad (2.35)^{++}$$

and consider the linear vector space of all  $U_i$  such that

$$\int_R (a^{\alpha\beta} v_\alpha v_\beta + w^2) dS < \infty. \quad (2.36)$$

The scalar product of two displacement vectors  $U_i$  and  $U_i'$  in this vector space is defined as

$$\int_R (a^{\alpha\beta} v_\alpha v'_\beta + ww') dS. \quad (2.37)$$

<sup>+</sup>The analogy is similar to that given by Duhamel (1838) for three-dimensional thermoelasticity, and the Betti reciprocity theorem has been extended to thermoelasticity with the aid of this analogy by Goudier (1958).

<sup>++</sup>The only tensor properties of  $U_i$  are those of its components  $v_\alpha$  and  $w$  which are a vector and scalar, respectively, with reference to transformations of coordinates in the reference plane.

In (2.22) there appears the operator  $\mathcal{L}^{ij}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\alpha\lambda} &= A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_\beta \nabla_\eta, \\ \mathcal{L}^{\alpha 3} &= -A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} (\nabla_\beta \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta \mathbf{z} + \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta \mathbf{z} \nabla_\beta), \\ \mathcal{L}^{3\lambda} &= A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \mathbf{z} \nabla_\eta, \\ \mathcal{L}^{33}_i &= -D \nabla^2 \nabla^2 - A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \mathbf{z} \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta \mathbf{z}\end{aligned}\quad (2.38)$$

whose domain will be the set of all  $U_i$  in the vector space such that second partial derivatives of  $U_\alpha$  and fourth partial derivatives of  $U_3$  are piecewise continuous and of integrable square and such that  $U_i$  meets homogeneous boundary conditions on  $C$  of the form (2.32) with  $N_N^* = H_N^* = 0$ . Then (2.22) may be written as

$$\mathcal{L}^{ij} U_j - \Theta^i + P^i = 0, \quad (2.39)$$

where

$$P^\alpha = p^\alpha, \quad P^3 = p, \quad \Theta^\alpha = \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \theta_N, \quad (2.40)$$

$$\Theta^3 = \alpha' (\nabla^2 \mathbf{z} \theta_N + \frac{h^2}{12} \nabla^2 \theta_M).$$

By (2.39), the reciprocity theorem (2.33) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_R \left[ (-\mathcal{L}^{ij} U_j + \Theta^i) U_i + n^i(\theta') U_i \right] dS + I(U', U) \\ &= \int_R \left[ (-\mathcal{L}^{ij} U_j + \Theta^i) U'_i + n^i(\theta) U'_i \right] dS + I(U, U'),\end{aligned}\quad (2.41)$$

where  $n^i(\theta)$  is the operator defined by

$$n^\alpha(\theta) = \alpha' \theta_N a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta, \quad (2.42)$$

$$n^3(\theta) = -\alpha' (\theta_N \nabla^2 \mathbf{z} + \frac{h^2}{12} \theta_M \nabla^2),$$

and

$$I(U', U) = \int_C [N_N' v_N - N_T' v_T - H_{N\theta} \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} + v' w] ds. \quad (2.43)$$

Setting  $\theta_N = \theta_M = 0$  in (2.41),  $\mathcal{L}^{ij}$  is seen to be self-adjoint and may be

extended to functions  $U_i''$  not in its domain by the definition

$$\int_R U_i \mathcal{L}^{ij} U_j'' dS = \int_R U_i'' \mathcal{L}^{ij} U_j dS. \quad (2.44)$$

We shall show that the Green's function for  $\mathcal{L}^{ij}$  is the perhaps symbolic (tensorial) function  $G_{ij}(x; x')$ , which satisfies the equation

$$\mathcal{L}^{ij} G_{jk}(x; x') = -\delta_k^i \delta(x - x'), \quad (2.45)$$

and homogeneous boundary conditions\* corresponding to (2.32) which with the aid of (2.19) and (2.26) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} N_{Ni} &= n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} [\nabla_{\lambda} G_{\alpha i}(x; x') - G_{\alpha i}(x; x') \nabla_{\lambda} \nabla_{\eta} z] = 0, \\ H_{Ni} &= -n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} \frac{h^2}{12} A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_{\lambda} \nabla_{\eta} G_{\alpha i}(x; x') = 0, \\ v_{Ti} &= n^{\alpha} G_{\alpha i}(x; x') = 0, \quad G_{\alpha i}(x; x') = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

for  $x$  on  $C$ . In (2.41), identification of  $U_j$  with  $G_{jk}(x; x')$  and  $U_j'$  with  $G_{j1}(x; x'')$  followed by application of (2.45) and (2.46) yields the relation

$$G_{k1}(x'; x'') = G_{1k}(x'', x'). \quad (2.47)$$

Similarly, identification of  $U_j'$  with  $G_{jk}(x; x')$  in (2.41) and application of (2.32), (2.39), (2.45), (2.46), and (2.47) results in

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(x) &= \int_R [G_{1j}(x; x') P^j(x') + n^{ij} (\theta(x')) G_{ij}(x; x')] dS' \\ &+ \int_C [n^{\beta}(x') G_{1\beta}(x; x') N_N^*(x') - \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G_{13}(x; x') H_N^*(x')] ds'. \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)**$$

---

\*It should be noted that under some boundary conditions in the original problem, the boundary conditions on the Green's function will not be homogeneous. For example, if  $N_N$  and  $N_T$  are prescribed on  $C$  in the original problem, corresponding homogeneous boundary conditions on the Green's function would not be possible in view of over-all equilibrium requirements. In such cases the Green's function must satisfy inhomogeneous boundary conditions which may conveniently be taken in a form similar to rigid body displacements as done for a flat plate by Bergman and Schiffer (1953, p. 239).

\*\*The primed operators act on the  $x'^{\alpha}$  variables of the Green's function.



The solution formula (2.48) expresses the displacements in terms of the surface and edge tractions and the temperature resultant field. In the absence of surface and edge tractions (2.48) may be written as

$$U_i(x) = \int_{R'} [\tilde{U}_i^N(x; x') \theta_N(x') + \tilde{U}_i^M(x; x') \theta_M(x')] dS', \quad (2.49)$$

where by (2.42)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_i^N(x; x') &= \alpha' [a^{\lambda\beta}(x') \nabla'_\beta G_{i\lambda}(x; x') - \nabla'^2 z(x') G_{i3}(x; x')] , \\ \tilde{U}_i^M(x; x') &= -\frac{h^2}{12} \alpha' \nabla'^2 G_{i3}(x; x') . \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

By manipulation of (2.45) it is easy to show that  $\tilde{U}_i^N(x; x')$  satisfies (2.22) with  $\theta_N = \delta(x-x')$  and  $\theta_M = p^\alpha = p = 0$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\alpha j} \tilde{U}_j^N(x; x') &= \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \delta(x-x'), \\ \mathcal{L}^{3j} \tilde{U}_j^N(x; x') &= \alpha' \nabla^2 z \delta(x-x'), \end{aligned} \quad (2.51a)$$

while  $\tilde{U}_i^M(x; x')$  satisfies (2.22) with  $\theta_M = \delta(x-x')$  and  $\theta_N = p^\alpha = 0$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\alpha j} \tilde{U}_j^M(x; x') &= 0, \\ \mathcal{L}^{3j} \tilde{U}_j^M(x; x') &= \frac{h^2}{12} \alpha' \nabla^2 \delta(x-x'). \end{aligned} \quad (2.51b)$$

Further, by (2.46),  $\tilde{U}_i^N$  satisfies the homogeneous boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} n_\alpha n_\beta A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} (\nabla_\lambda \tilde{U}^N(x; x') - \tilde{U}_3^N(x; x') \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta z) &= 0, \\ n_\alpha n_\beta A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta \tilde{U}_3^N &= 0, \\ n_\alpha \tilde{U}_\alpha^N(x; x') = \tilde{U}_3^N(x; x') &= 0, \quad x \text{ on } C, \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

and identical boundary conditions are met by  $\tilde{U}_i^M$ . The stress and couple resultants may now be obtained from (2.19) and (2.48) or (2.49).

### 2.3 A Solution Formula for Combined Thermoelastic Problems of Shallow Shells.

The solution formula (2.16) for heat conduction and the elastostatic solution formula (2.49) may be combined and after interchange of the order of integration written as

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1(x, t) = & \int_0^t dt' \int_{R'} \left[ \mathcal{G}_1^N(x, t; x', t') Q_N(x', t') \right. \\
& \left. + \mathcal{G}_1^M(x, t; x', t') Q_M(x', t') \right] dS' - \int_0^t dt' \\
& \int_C n^\alpha(x') \left[ \nabla_\alpha \mathcal{G}_1^N(x, t; x', t') \theta_N^*(x', t') + \nabla_\alpha \mathcal{G}_1^M(x, t; x', t') \theta_M^*(x', t') \right] ds' \\
& + \int_{R'} \left[ \mathcal{G}_1^N(x, t; x', 0) \theta_N^0(x') + \mathcal{G}_1^M(x, t; x', 0) \theta_M^0(x') \right] dS',
\end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_1^N(x, t; x'', t'') = \int_{R'} \tilde{U}_\alpha^N(x; x') G_N(x', t; x'', t'') dS', \tag{2.54}$$

and similarly for  $\mathcal{G}_1^M$ . The truth of (2.53) is easily verified, since by (2.51a) and (2.54)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{\alpha j} \mathcal{G}_j^N(x, t; x'', t'') &= \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta G_N^*(x, t; x'', t''), \\
\mathcal{L}^{\beta j} \mathcal{G}_j^N(x, t; x'', t'') &= \alpha' \nabla^2 Z G_N(x, t; x'', t''), \\
\mathcal{L}^{\alpha j} \mathcal{G}_j^M(x, t; x'', t'') &= 0, \\
\mathcal{L}^{\beta j} \mathcal{G}_j^M(x, t; x'', t'') &= \frac{h^2}{12} \alpha' \nabla^2 G_M(x, t; x'', t''),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

and then by (2.53) and (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{\alpha j} U_j(x, t) &= \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \theta_N(x, t), \\
\mathcal{L}^{\beta j} U_j(x, t) &= \alpha' \left[ \nabla^2 Z \theta_N(x, t) + \frac{h^2}{12} \nabla^2 \theta_M(x, t) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

which are precisely the field equations (2.39) with  $P^1 = 0$ . By (2.54),  $\mathcal{G}_1^N$  and  $\mathcal{G}_1^M$  satisfy boundary conditions of the form (2.52). Thus,  $\mathcal{G}_j^N$  and  $\mathcal{G}_j^M$  play the role of Green's function for combined quasi-static thermo-elastic problems in the theory of shallow shells and (2.53) determines the middle surface displacement in terms of the surface or internal heating, edge temperature, and initial temperature. By (2.16), (2.19), and (2.53) the stress resultants are

$$\begin{aligned}
N^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}, t) = & \int_0^t dt' \int_{R'} \left[ N_N^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') Q_N(\mathbf{x}', t') \right. \\
& + N_M^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') Q_M(\mathbf{x}', t') \left. \right] dS' \\
& - \int_0^t dt' \int_{C'} n^\alpha(\mathbf{x}') \left[ \nabla_\alpha N_N^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') \theta_N(\mathbf{x}', t') \right. \\
& + \nabla'_\alpha N_M^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') \theta_M(\mathbf{x}', t') \left. \right] dS' \\
& + \int_{R'} \left[ N_N^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', 0) \theta_N^\circ(\mathbf{x}') \right. \\
& + N_M^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', 0) \theta_M^\circ(\mathbf{x}') \left. \right] dS',
\end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
N_N^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') = & A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \left[ \nabla_\lambda \mathcal{L}_\eta^N(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') \right. \\
& \left. - \mathcal{L}_3^N(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta Z(\mathbf{x}) \right] - \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}) G^N(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t'),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.58}$$

and similarly for  $N_M^{\alpha\beta}$ . By (2.58),  $N_N^{\alpha\beta}$  is just the resultant obtained directly from the Green's functions by an equation of the form (2.19a). Similar expressions may be obtained for the couple resultants and shear stress resultants. The question of existence of solution  $U_i$  for specified edge temperature, initial temperature, and heating will not be considered here, although some restrictions on the region  $R$  and on the specified functions certainly will be necessary.

- 2.4 Remarks on Extension of the Results to General Theory of Shells. The method developed in the foregoing may also be applied in the more general theory of shells which are not necessarily shallow and in which the effect of transverse shear deformation may also be included. The results obtained for shallow shells apply immediately to the simplified theory (sometimes referred to as the "technical" theory) of shells, presented, e.g., by Green and Zerna (1954), the only change being that  $x^\alpha$  are regarded as middle surface coordinates rather than coordinates in a reference plane. With only slight modification, the formulas of this section also extend to the version of shell theory commonly known as Love's first approximation,<sup>+</sup> in which the constitutive

<sup>+</sup>There are at least three different versions of Love's approximation in the literature. We refer here to the one given in vectorial form by Reissner (1941). A full discussion and additional references are given by Naghdi (1962).

equations may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\beta\alpha} &= A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} (\nabla_\lambda v_\eta - b_{\lambda\eta} w) - \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \theta_N, \\ M^{\beta\alpha} &= -\frac{h^2}{12} A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} (\nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta w + \nabla_\lambda (b_\eta^\gamma v_\gamma)) - \frac{h^2}{12} \alpha' a^{\alpha\beta} \theta_M, \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

where the covariant differentiation now refers to a curvilinear coordinate system on the shell middle surface and  $A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta}$  is given by (2.20) with  $a^{\alpha\beta}$  now being the conjugate metric for the middle surface coordinate system. When (2.59) is combined with the general equilibrium equations for shells we have

$$\begin{aligned} &A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_\beta (\nabla_\eta v_\lambda - b_{\lambda\eta} w) + \frac{h^2}{12} b_\rho^\alpha A^{\rho\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_\beta \left[ \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta w \right. \\ &+ \left. \nabla_\lambda (b_\eta^\gamma v_\gamma) \right] - \alpha' \left[ a^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \theta_N - \frac{h^2}{12} b^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta \theta_M \right] + p^\alpha = 0, \\ &- \frac{h^2}{12} A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \left[ \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta w + \nabla_\lambda (b_\eta^\gamma v_\gamma) \right] \\ &+ A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} b_{\alpha\beta} (\nabla_\eta v_\lambda - b_{\lambda\eta} w) - \alpha' \left[ \frac{h^2}{12} \nabla^2 \theta_M + b_\alpha^\alpha \theta_N \right] + p = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

which are of the form (2.39) but with the operator  $\mathcal{L}^y$  and the temperature term  $\theta^1$  no longer given by (2.38) and (2.40). Also, it is easily verified that the form (2.41) remains unchanged. Since the heat conduction equations (2.1) with solution formula (2.16) are valid to the same degree of approximation as (2.59), it follows that the result (2.53) holds also for Love's first approximation. However, the Green's function  $\mathcal{G}_j^N(x, t; x', t')$  will now be a solution of (2.60) operated on by  $L_N$  with  $L_N \theta_N = \infty \delta(x-x') \delta(t-t')$ ,  $\theta_M = p = p^\alpha = 0$  and under appropriate boundary conditions. A similar statement holds for  $\mathcal{G}_j^M$  and also for  $G_{ij}$  in (2.48). In connection with Love's first approximation, it may be recalled that integral formulas for displacements and their derivatives for the isothermal case are given by Naghdi (1960); these formulas are contained in (2.48) and its derivatives. It should be remembered, however, that certain inconsistencies are present in the constitutive equations (2.59) in that  $N^{\beta\alpha}$  and  $M^{\beta\alpha}$  do not vanish identically under rigid body displacement, nor do they satisfy the equation of moment equilibrium about a normal to the shell middle surface, except for spherical shells.<sup>++</sup> While the degree of error is of the order  $\frac{h}{R}$  ( $R$  being

<sup>++</sup> The inconsistencies mentioned are also present in the constitutive equations of shallow shell theory, although they are not considered serious in view of the assumption of shallowness.

the minimum principal radius of curvature), and  $\frac{h}{R} \ll 1$  is a basic postulate of thin shell theory, nevertheless difficulties have been encountered in some problems and at least on theoretical grounds improved constitutive equations are desirable. To obtain improved theories, additional higher order geometrical terms have been retained in numerous derivations of constitutive equations and the (often) equally important effect of transverse shear deformation has sometimes also been included. A more complete discussion and a detailed comparison of various constitutive equations is given by Naghdi (1962). When more exact constitutive equations are applied to thermoelastic problems of shells, the use of heat conduction equations of corresponding accuracy seems desirable. Thus, the heat conduction equations for thin shells derived by Bolotin (1960) could be improved by retaining geometrical quantities of higher order in  $h/R$ , in which case it may also be necessary to modify the assumption (2.3). Further, the temperature resultants  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta_M$  may not enter the constitutive equations in such a simple manner as in (2.59).<sup>\*</sup> While additional effort may be required to extend the present method to thermoelastic problems in improved theory of shells, the main ideas of the Green's function approach will still apply provided a reciprocity (Green's) formula is available in simple form, as is the case for a system of improved constitutive equations given by Naghdi (1962). In fact, improved equations due to Flügge for circular cylindrical shells are employed by Goodier and Nevill (1961) to obtain formulas somewhat analogous to (2.49), and they also derive similar formulas for various specific problems in theories of thin bars, thin plates, membrane shells, and three-dimensional elasticity. In these as well as other problems, it should be possible to combine the integral formulas for displacements in terms of the temperature field with integral formulas for solution of appropriate heat conduction equations, thereby obtaining formulas for displacements in the combined thermoelastic problem as in the foregoing treatment for shallow shells.

### 3. APPLICATION OF THE QUASI-STATIC THEORY: THERMOELASTIC GREEN'S FUNCTIONS FOR TWO CLASSES OF SHALLOW SHELLS

3.1 A Class of Unlimited Shallow Shells. First we shall obtain the Green's functions  $G_N$  and  $G_M$  for temperature resultants in an unlimited shallow shell.

---

<sup>\*</sup>Note, however, that the  $\theta_N$  and  $\theta_M$  terms in (2.59) do meet the equation of moment equilibrium about a normal to the middle surface.

These Green's functions are independent of the form of the middle surface, since  $z$  does not enter (2.12). Although  $G_N$  and  $G_M$  could be obtained from the known Green's function for the two-dimensional heat conduction equation by a simple transformation, for illustrative purposes they are derived here following the method established by Friedman (1956, p.293) since this same method will be applied later to obtain the thermoelastic Green's functions  $G_1^N$  and  $G_1^M$ . Thus, we may write (2.12a) as

$$G_N(x, t; x', t') = \frac{-1}{\left(\nabla^2 - \eta_N - \frac{1}{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)} \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t'), \quad (3.1)$$

and interpret the result with the aid of appropriate spectral representations for the Laplacian (in the entire plane) and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  (on  $0 \leq t \leq \infty$  with zero initial condition), namely\*\*

$$\delta(x - x') = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\xi_1(x_1 - x'_1)} e^{i\xi_2(x_2 - x'_2)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\delta(t - t') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a - i\infty}^{a + i\infty} e^{s(t - t')} ds, \quad (3.3)$$

respectively, where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are rectangular Cartesian coordinates. By (3.1) to (3.3) we have

$$G_N(x, t; x', t') = \frac{K}{8\pi^3 i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{a - i\infty}^{a + i\infty} \frac{e^{s(t - t')} e^{i\xi_1(x_1 - x'_1)} e^{i\xi_2(x_2 - x'_2)}}{s + K(\eta_N + \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)} ds d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \quad (3.4)$$

which, upon introducing

$$\frac{1}{s + K(\eta_N + \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)} = \int_0^{\infty} \exp \left[ -ts - tK(\eta_N + \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) \right] dt, \quad (3.5)$$

$$r^2 = (x_1 - x'_1)^2 + (x_2 - x'_2)^2,$$

after some elementary manipulations, becomes

$$G_N(x, t; x', t') = \frac{1}{4\pi(t - t')} \exp \left[ \frac{-r^2}{4K(t - t')} - K\eta_N(t - t') \right], \quad t - t' \geq 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$= 0, \quad t - t' < 0,$$

and a similar equation holds for  $G_M(x, t; x', t')$ . Next, we consider the class of unlimited shallow shells with middle surfaces of arbitrary quadratic form which by an orthogonal transformation of coordinates may be brought to

---

\*\* As noted by Friedman (1956), (3.2) and (3.3) contain the two-dimensional Fourier transform theorem and the Laplace transform theorem, respectively.

$$Z = \frac{1}{2} (b_1 x_1^2 + b_2 x_2^2) , \quad (3.7)^+$$

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are the principal curvatures of the middle surface of the shallow shell. For the class (3.7), Marguerre's (1938) formulation for elastostatic problems of shallow shells in terms of  $w$  and an Airy stress function  $F$ , in the absence of surface tractions and the presence of temperature resultants, reads

$$\nabla^2 \nabla^2 F + Eh (b_2 L_1 + b_1 L_2) w + Eh \alpha \nabla^2 \theta_N = 0 , \quad (3.8a)$$

$$D \nabla^2 \nabla^2 w - (b_2 L_1 + b_1 L_2) F + (1-\nu) D \alpha \nabla^2 \theta_M = 0 ,$$

where

$$L_1 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} , \quad L_2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} , \quad (3.8b)$$

and the stress resultants are

$$N_{11} = L_2 F , \quad N_{22} = L_1 F , \quad N_{12} = - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} . \quad (3.9)$$

By (2.18), (2.19), (3.7), and (3.9) the tangential components of middle surface displacement to within a rigid body displacement may be written as

$$v_1 = \int_0^{x_1} \left[ \frac{1}{Eh} (L_2 F - \nu L_1 F) + b_1 w + \alpha \theta_N \right] dx_1 , \quad (3.10)$$

and an expression for  $v_2$  given by (3.10) with subscripts 1 and 2 interchanged. To obtain the thermoelastic Green's function  $\mathcal{G}_1^N(x, t; x', t')$ , we replace  $F$ ,  $w$ ,  $\theta_N$ , and  $\theta_M$  in (3.8) by  $F^N$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_3^N$ ,  $G_N$ , and 0, respectively, whence, with the aid of (3.1), (3.8) yields

$$\mathcal{G}_3^N = \frac{k^2 \alpha (b_2 L_1 + b_1 L_2) \nabla^2}{[\nabla^8 + k^2 (b_2 L_1 + b_1 L_2)^2] [\nabla^2 - \eta_N - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}]} \delta(x-x') \delta(t-t') , \quad (3.11)$$

$$F^N = \frac{Eh \alpha \nabla^6}{[\nabla^8 + k^2 (b_2 L_1 + b_1 L_2)^2] [\nabla^2 - \eta_N - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}]} \delta(x-x') \delta(t-t') ,$$

where

$$k^2 = \frac{Eh}{D} = \frac{12}{h^2} (1 - \nu^2) .$$

<sup>+</sup>For a shallow shell with middle surface analytic at the origin, (3.7) represents the first significant term of the series expansion of  $Z$  in the neighborhood of the origin.

This result may be interpreted using (3.3) and the spectral representation

$$\delta(x_1 - x'_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\xi_1(x_1 - x'_1)} d\xi_1 \quad (3.12)$$

for the operator  $L$  and a similar representation for  $L_2$ . Thus, following the procedure used to obtain (3.6), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_3^N &= \frac{kKd}{8\pi^2} e^{-K\eta N(t-t')} (I_1 - I_2), \\ \mathcal{F}^N &= \frac{EhKd}{8\pi^2} e^{-K\eta N(t-t')} (I_1 + I_2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{array} \right\} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) e^{-K(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)(t-t_0)}}{(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) \pm ik(b_2\xi_1^2 + b_1\xi_2^2)} \\ &\quad e^{i\xi_1(x_1 - x'_1)} e^{i\xi_2(x_2 - x'_2)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

are complex conjugate functions. The component  $\mathcal{G}_1^N$  of the Green's function by (3.10), (3.4), and (3.13) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_1^N &= \frac{-K\alpha}{4\pi^2} e^{-K\eta N(t-t')} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_1} \left[ e^{i\xi_1(x_1 - x'_1)} - e^{-i\xi_1 x'_1} \right] \\ &\quad \left[ \frac{(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)^3 (\xi_2^2 - \nu\xi_1^2) + b_1 k^2 (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) (b_2\xi_1^2 + b_1\xi_2^2)}{(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)^4 + k^2 (b_2\xi_1^2 + b_1\xi_2^2)^2} - 1 \right] \\ &\quad e^{-K(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)(t-t')} e^{i\xi_2(x_2 - x'_2)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

and  $\mathcal{G}_2^N(x, t; x', t')$  is given by (3.15) with subscripts 1 and 2 interchanged. Following the same procedure as before, the Green's functions  $\mathcal{G}_i^M$  are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_3^M &= \frac{(1+\nu)K\alpha}{8\pi^2} e^{-K\eta M(t-t')} (I_1 + I_2), \\ \mathcal{G}_1^M &= -\frac{(1+\nu)K\alpha}{4\pi^2} e^{-K\eta M(t-t')} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\xi_1} \left[ e^{i\xi_1(x_1 - x'_1)} - e^{-i\xi_1 x'_1} \right] \\ &\quad \left[ \frac{(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) (b_2\xi_1^2 + b_1\xi_2^2) (\xi_2^2 - \nu\xi_1^2) - b_1 (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)^3}{(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)^4 + k^2 (b_2\xi_1^2 + b_1\xi_2^2)^2} - 1 \right] \\ &\quad e^{-K(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)(t-t')} e^{i\xi_2(x_2 - x'_2)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$



a similar expression for  $\Psi_2^M(x, t; x', t')$ , and

$$F^M = -\frac{(1+i)\epsilon_0 k \alpha}{8\pi k_1} e^{-k_1 M(t-t')} (I_1 - I_2). \quad (3.17)$$

Next, in order to study the integrals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  defined by (3.14) we introduce polar coordinates through

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \rho \cos \phi, & \xi_2 &= \rho \sin \phi, \\ x_1 - x_1' &= r \cos \theta, & x_2 - x_2' &= r \sin \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

and then

$$\begin{Bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{Bmatrix} = \int_0^\infty \int_{\phi_0}^{\phi_0 + 2\pi} \frac{e^{-k\rho^2(t-t')} e^{ir\rho \cos(\phi-\theta)} \rho d\phi d\rho}{\rho^2 \pm k(b_1 \sin^2 \phi + b_2 \cos^2 \phi)}. \quad (3.19)$$

Expansion of the denominator of (3.19) in Fourier cosine series yields

$$\begin{Bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{Bmatrix} = \int_0^\infty \int_{\phi_0}^{\phi_0 + 2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m(\pm k, \rho^2) e^{-k\rho^2(t-t')} e^{ir\rho \cos(\phi-\theta)} \cos 2m\phi \rho d\phi d\rho, \quad (3.20a)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(\pm k, \rho^2) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\phi}{\rho^2 \pm ik(b_1 \sin^2 \phi + b_2 \cos^2 \phi)}, \\ a_m(+k, \rho^2) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\cos 2m\phi d\phi}{\rho^2 \pm ik(b_1 \sin^2 \phi + b_2 \cos^2 \phi)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20b)$$

Evaluation of the definite integrals in (3.20b) is carried out in the Appendix where it is found that

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(\pm k, \rho^2) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \\ a_m(\pm k, \rho^2) &= \frac{\beta^m + \beta^{-m}}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - \frac{4}{(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \beta^{m-2k-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21a)$$

for  $m \geq 1, \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ ,

$$a_m(\pm k, \rho^2) = 0,$$

for  $m \geq 1, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ ,

with the notation

$$\lambda_1 = (\rho^2 \pm i k b_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \lambda_2 = (\rho^2 \pm i k b_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.21b)$$

$$\beta = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^{-1}.$$

Recalling [Watson (1944)] that the Bessel function of first kind may be represented by the integral

$$J_n(n\rho) = \frac{1-n}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i r \rho \cos \phi} \cos n \phi d\phi,$$

and taking  $\phi_0 = \theta$ , (3.20a) reduces to

$$\begin{Bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{Bmatrix} = 2\pi \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \cos 2m\theta \int_0^{\infty} a_m(\pm k, \rho^2) e^{-k\rho^2(t-t')} J_{2m}(r\rho) \rho d\rho \quad (3.22)$$

which appears suited to numerical evaluation. At  $r=0$ , by (3.20) and with  $\rho' = \rho^2$ , (3.22) becomes

$$\begin{Bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{Bmatrix} = \pi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\rho' K(t-t')}}{(\rho' \pm i k b_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\rho' \pm i k b_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\rho' \quad (3.23)$$

which is in the form of a Laplace transform and will be evaluated for several specific shell geometries of the class (3.7). For an unlimited spherical shell<sup>++</sup> (of radius R)

$$b_1 = b_2 = -\frac{1}{R}, \quad (3.24)$$

and by (3.23) and a known Laplace transform [Erdélyi (1954)] we have at  $r = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 + I_2 &= 2\pi [-Ci(\tau) \cos \tau - Si(\tau) \sin \tau + \frac{\pi}{2} \sin \tau], \\ I_1 - I_2 &= 2\pi i [Ci(\tau) \sin \tau - Si(\tau) \cos \tau + \frac{\pi}{2} \cos \tau], \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\tau = \frac{kK}{R} (t-t'),$$

where  $Si(\tau)$  and  $Ci(\tau)$  are the sine and cosine integrals defined as usual by

---

<sup>++</sup>The unlimited shallow spherical shell is actually an unlimited paraboloid of revolution which in the neighborhood of the apex may be regarded as equivalent to a spherical shell with edge sufficiently far removed from the shallow region of interest.

$$Si(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \frac{\sin y}{y} dy, \quad Ci(\tau) = - \int_\tau^\infty \frac{\cos y}{y} dy. \quad (3.26)$$

Also, for the shallow spherical shell, (3.21) and (3.22) result in

$$I_1 + I_2 = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-k\rho'(t-t')} J_0(\tau\sqrt{\rho'}) \rho' d\rho'}{\rho'^2 + \frac{k^2}{R^2}}, \quad (3.27)$$

$$I_1 - I_2 = \frac{2\pi ik}{R} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-k\rho'(t-t')} J_0(\tau\sqrt{\rho'}) d\rho'}{\rho' + \frac{k^2}{R^2}},$$

and the solution is independent of  $\theta$ , i.e., it is axisymmetric. For an unlimited shallow circular cylindrical shell\* (of radius  $R'$  with generators  $x_2 = \text{const.}$ )

$$b_1 = 0, \quad b_2 = -\frac{1}{R'} \quad (3.28)$$

and by a known Laplace transform [Erdélyi (1954)], (3.23) is

$$\begin{Bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{Bmatrix} = \pi e^{\mp i\tau'} K_0(\mp i\tau'), \quad \tau' = \frac{kR}{2}, (t-t'), \quad (3.29a)$$

hence at  $r = 0$

$$I_1 + I_2 = \pi^2 \left[ J_0(\tau') \sin \tau' - Y_0(\tau') \cos \tau' \right], \quad (3.29b)$$

$$I_1 - I_2 = \pi^2 \left[ J_0(\tau') \cos \tau' + Y_0(\tau') \sin \tau' \right],$$

where  $K_0$ ,  $J_0$ , and  $Y_0$  are Bessel functions in the usual notation of Watson (1944). For an unlimited shallow hyperbolic paraboloidal shell with

$$b_1 = -b_2 = b > 0, \quad (3.30)$$

by a known Laplace transform [Erdélyi (1954)], (3.23) yields

$$I_1 = I_2 = \frac{\pi^2}{2} [H_0(\tau'') - Y_0(\tau'')], \quad (3.31)$$

$$\tau'' = kRb(t-t'),$$

where  $H_0$  is Struve's function of first order as defined by Watson (1944).

---

\*The unlimited shallow circular cylindrical shell is actually an unlimited parabolic cylindrical panel, which in the neighborhood of the  $x_1$ -axis may be regarded as equivalent to a circular cylindrical shell with edge sufficiently far removed from the shallow region of interest.

The solution for the unlimited flat plate ( $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ ) cannot be obtained as a limiting case of the foregoing solution for unlimited shallow shells, since for  $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ , by (3.21)

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\rho^2}, \quad am = 0, \quad m \geq 0, \quad (3.32)$$

and the integral in (3.22) is divergent. This result is due to the fact that the spectral representation (3.2) for  $\nabla^2$  is not valid for solutions of the plate equations. For an unlimited plate Green's functions can be obtained with the aid of the extended definition of  $\nabla^2$  [see (4.20)], but the Green's function for the bending solution will contain a  $\ln r$  term and an arbitrary function of time. In this respect the Green's function for an unlimited flat plate differs from those for unlimited shallow shells where the displacements vanish at  $r = \infty$  and no arbitrary function of time is present. Thus, a comparison between quasi-static Green's functions for unlimited plates and shallow shells is not possible, and the Green's function for the flat plate will not be derived here. For a finite plate, however, no such difficulties arise.

The time variation of  $\mathcal{L}_3^M(x, t; x', t')$  at the source point  $x_\alpha = x'_\alpha$  ( $r = 0$ ) is shown in Fig. 1 for the spherical, cylindrical, and hyperbolic paraboloidal shallow shells. These results are obtained by numerical evaluation of (3.16), (3.25), (3.29), and (3.31), for the values

$$\frac{h}{R} = \frac{h}{R'} = hb = \frac{1}{30}, \quad \nu = 0.3, \quad H = 0 \quad (3.33)$$

where  $H = 0$  has been chosen since  $H/hk \ll 1$  in most problems of practical interest. For qualitative comparison with these quasi-static Green's functions, since a dynamic Green's function for shells is not available, Fig. 1 shows the corresponding time variation of the dynamic Green's function  $\mathcal{L}_3^M(x, t; x', t')$  at  $r = 0$  for an unlimited flat plate as obtained by Nordgren and Naghdi (1962) which may be written as

$$\left[ \mathcal{L}_3^M \right]_{n=0} = \frac{(1+\nu)\omega k}{4\pi} e^{-k\eta_M(t-t')} \left[ \bar{E} i \kappa \eta_M(t-t') - \ln \eta_M(t-t') \right], \quad (3.34)$$

for  $\frac{12K}{h} \left( \frac{E}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll 1, \quad \bar{E} i \tau = Ci(i\tau) - \frac{\pi i}{2} - Si(i\tau).$

As seen in Fig. 1, the time variation for the three shells is similar and possesses a logarithmic singularity at  $t = t'$  and decays exponentially as

$t - t' \rightarrow \infty$ . It should be noted that the quasi-static Green's functions fail to meet a zero initial condition, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t-t' \rightarrow 0+} \mathcal{L}_3^M(x, t; x', t') \neq 0, \quad (3.35)$$

for general values<sup>+</sup> of  $x$  and  $x'$ . However, in the actual solution formula (2.53) for  $w$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_3^M(x, t; x', t')$   $\delta M(x', t')$  is integrated with respect to  $t'$  and if  $\theta_M(x', t')$  is a piecewise continuous function of  $t'$  with  $\theta_M(x', t') = 0$  for  $t' < 0$ , then  $w$  will be continuous in  $t$  and vanish at  $t = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $\theta_M(x', t')$  is an impulse ( $\delta$ -function), then the singularity in  $\mathcal{L}_3^M(x, t; x', t')$  at  $t = t'$  gives an unrealistic result for  $w$ , indicating that the dynamic solution should be employed. In the dynamic solution for the flat plate,  $\mathcal{L}_3^M(x, t; x, t') = 0$  at  $t = t'$  as desired. Mathematically, it is clear that zero initial conditions cannot be imposed on the quasi-static Green's function since time operators (inertia terms) are not present in the elastostatic equations.

It should be noted that  $\mathcal{L}_3^M(x, t; x', t')$  given by (3.13) may differ considerably from  $\mathcal{L}_3^N(x, t; x', t')$ , since by (2.2),  $\eta_N \ll \eta_M$  when  $H/hk \ll 1$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{L}_3^N$  will decay more slowly than  $\mathcal{L}_3^M$  as  $t - t' \rightarrow \infty$  and for the spherical and cylindrical shallow shells, by (3.13), (3.25), and (3.29b), a damped oscillatory time variation of significant amplitude may be expected. For the hyperbolic paraboloidal shell (3.30), by (3.13) and (3.31),  $\mathcal{L}_3^N(x, t; x', t') = 0$  at  $x = x'$ .

**3.2 A Class of Rectangular Shallow Shells.** Let us consider the class of shallow shells (3.7) now with  $0 \leq x_\alpha \leq a_\alpha$  and boundary conditions (2.4) and (2.32), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} w = v_2 = 0, \quad M_{11} = H_N^*, \quad N_{11} = N_N^*, \quad \text{on } x_1 = 0, a_1, \\ w = v_1 = 0, \quad M_{22} = H_N^*, \quad N_{22} = N_N^*, \quad \text{on } x_2 = 0, a_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

$$\theta_N = \theta_N^*, \quad \theta_M = \theta_M^*, \quad \text{on } x_\alpha = 0, a_\alpha. \quad (3.37)$$

By (2.19) and (3.9), the homogeneous form of (3.36) is met if

---

<sup>+</sup>A similar situation occurs in the theory of elasticity where, e.g., the thermoelastic Green's functions for the entire space according to quasi-static theory as given by Parkus (19'9) do not vanish as  $t - t' \rightarrow 0$ .

$$w = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_1^2} = F = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_1^2} = 0, \quad \text{on } x_1 = 0, a_1, \quad (3.38)$$

$$w = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_2^2} = F = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_2^2} = 0, \quad \text{on } x_2 = 0, a_2, \\ \theta_N = \theta_M = 0, \quad x_\alpha = 0, a_\alpha. \quad (3.39)$$

In view of (3.38) the domain of the operators  $L_\alpha = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\alpha^2}$  appearing in (2.1) and (3.8) may be taken as the set of all integrable square functions which vanish at  $x_\alpha = 0, a_\alpha$  and have piecewise continuous and integrable square second derivatives with respect to  $x_\alpha$ . Since by (3.38)  $L_\beta N$  vanishes at  $x_\alpha = 0, a_\alpha$ , the operators in (3.8) of the form  $L_\alpha L_\beta N$  be interpreted as  $L_\alpha$  (with the domain just specified) acting on  $L_\beta N$  provided that  $N$  has piecewise continuous and integrable square fourth partial derivatives. Then, since  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  commute, we may again follow the method established by Friedman (1956) and regard  $L$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  as constants in solving for the Green's function. The result is interpreted using for  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  the spectral representation (3.2) and for  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  the spectral representations

$$\delta(x_1 - x'_1) = \frac{2}{a_1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sin \frac{m\pi x_1}{a_1} \sin \frac{m\pi x'_1}{a_1}, \\ \delta(x_2 - x'_2) = \frac{2}{a_2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin \frac{n\pi x_2}{a_2} \sin \frac{n\pi x'_2}{a_2}, \quad (3.40)$$

respectively, which are given by Friedman (1956, p. 249). In this manner, (3.1) yields the temperature Green's function

$$G_N(x, t; x', t') = \frac{1}{a_1 a_2} e^{-K\eta_N(t-t')} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} \exp \left[ -K(m'^2 + n'^2)(t-t') \right] \\ \sin m'x_1 \sin m'x'_1 \sin n'x_2 \sin n'x'_2, \quad (3.41)$$

where

$$m' = \frac{m\pi}{a_1}, \quad n' = \frac{n\pi}{a_2}, \quad (3.42)$$

and a corresponding expression for  $G_M(x, t; x', t')$  follows upon replacing  $\eta_N$  by  $\eta_M$  in (3.41). A similar technique applied to (3.11) results in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_3^N &= \frac{2k\kappa\alpha}{a_1 a_2 i} e^{-\kappa\eta_N(t-t')} (I_1' - I_2'), \\ F^N &= \frac{2Eh\kappa\alpha}{a_1 a_2} e^{-\kappa\eta_N(t-t')} (I_1' + I_2'), \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

where

$$\begin{Bmatrix} I_1' \\ I_2' \end{Bmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{(m'^2 + n'^2) \exp\{-\kappa(m'^2 + n'^2)(t-t')\}}{[(m'^2 + n'^2)^2 \pm ik(b_2 m'^2 + b_1 n'^2)^2]} \quad (3.44)$$

$$\sin m'x_1 \quad \sin m'x_1' \quad \sin n'x_2 \quad \sin n'x_2'.$$

By (3.10), (3.41), and (3.43) the remaining components  $\mathcal{G}_2^N$  of Green's function  $\mathcal{G}_1^N$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_1^N &= \frac{4k\alpha}{a_1 a_2} e^{-\kappa\eta_N(t-t')} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m'} (\cos m'x_1 - 1) \\ &\quad \left\{ \frac{(m'^2 + n'^2)^3 (n'^2 - \nu m'^2) + b_1 k^2 (m'^2 + n'^2) (b_2 m'^2 + b_1 n'^2)}{(m'^2 + n'^2)^4 + k^2 (b_2 m'^2 + b_1 n'^2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 1 \right\} \exp\{-\kappa(m'^2 + n'^2)(t-t')\} \sin m'x_1' \sin n'x_2 \sin n'x_2', \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

and a similar expression for  $\mathcal{G}_2^N(x, t; x', t')$  which is given by (3.45), with M and N as well as subscripts 1 and 2 interchanged. By the same procedure we obtain the Green's functions

$$\mathcal{G}_3^M = \frac{2(1+\nu)k\alpha}{a_1 a_2} e^{-\kappa\eta_M(t-t')} (I_1' + I_2'), \quad (3.46a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_1^M &= \frac{4(1+\nu)k\alpha}{a_1 a_2} e^{-\kappa\eta_M(t-t')} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} (\cos m'x_1 - 1) \\ &\quad \left\{ \frac{(m'^2 + n'^2)(b_2 m'^2 + b_1 n'^2)(n'^2 - \nu m'^2) - b_1 (m'^2 + n'^2)^3}{(m'^2 + n'^2)^4 + k^2 (b_2 m'^2 + b_1 n'^2)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 1 \right\} \exp\{-\kappa(m'^2 + n'^2)(t-t')\} \sin m'x_1' \sin n'x_2 \sin n'x_2', \end{aligned} \quad (3.46b)$$

a similar expression for  $\mathcal{G}_2^M(x, t; x', t')$ , and

$$F^M = \frac{-2(1+\nu)Eh\kappa\alpha}{a_1 a_2 k i} e^{-\kappa\eta_M(t-t')} (I_1' - I_2'), \quad (3.46c)$$

where  $I_1'$  and  $I_2'$  are defined by (3.44).

As an application of the formulas of Section 2 and the Green's functions just obtained for a class of rectangular shallow shells, we consider the edge conditions (3.37) with

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_N^*(x, t) &= f(x_1), & x_2 &= 0, & t &\geq 0, \\ &= -f(x_1), & x_2 &= a_2, & t &\geq 0, \\ &= 0, & x_1 &= 0, a_1, \\ &= 0, & t &< 0,\end{aligned}\quad (3.47a)$$

and also take

$$H_N^* = N_N^* = Q_N = Q_M = \theta_N^0 = \theta_M^0 = 0, \quad (3.47b)$$

where  $f(x_1)$  is a continuous function of integrable square on  $0 \leq x_1 \leq a_1$ .

By (2.16), (2.53), and (3.47), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_N(x, t) &= \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{a_1} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} G_N(x, t; x', t') \right]_{x_2=0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} G_N(x, t; x', t') \right]_{x_2=a_2} \right\} f(x'_1) dx'_1, \\ U_1(x, t) &= \int_0^t \int_0^{a_1} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} G_1^N(x, t; x', t') \right]_{x_2=0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} G_1^N(x, t; x', t') \right]_{x_2=a_2} \right\} f(x'_1) dx'_1\end{aligned}\quad (3.48)$$

which with the Green's functions (3.41) to (3.45) become

$$\theta_N(x, t) = \frac{4}{a_2} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \exp \int -K(m'^2 + 4n'^2 + \eta_N)t}{m'^2 + 4n'^2 + \eta_N} \quad (3.49a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}U_1(x, t) &= \frac{4\alpha}{a_2} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \exp \{ -K(m'^2 + 4n'^2 + \eta_N)t \}}{m'^2 + 4n'^2 + \eta_N} \\ &\quad \left\{ \frac{(m'^2 + 4n'^2)^3 (4n'^2 - \gamma m'^2) + b_1 k^2 (m'^2 + 4n'^2) (b_2 m'^2 + b_1 4n'^2)}{(m'^2 + 4n'^2)^4 + k^2 (b_2 m'^2 + b_1 4n'^2)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 1 \right\} \frac{2n'}{m'} (\cos m'x_1 - 1) \sin 2n'x_2,\end{aligned}\quad (3.49b)$$

a similar expression for  $U_2(x, t)$  and



$$U_3(x, t) = -\frac{4k^2\alpha}{a_2} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \exp\left\{-\kappa(m'^2 + 4n'^2 + \eta_N)t\right\}}{m'^2 + 4n'^2 + \eta_N} \left\{ (m'^2 + 4n'^2)^4 + k^2 (b_2 m'^2 + b_1 4n'^2)^2 \right\}^{-1} \quad (3.49c)$$

$$(m'^2 + 4n'^2) (b_2 m'^2 + b_1 4n'^2) 2n' f_m \sin m'x_1 \sin 2n'x_2 ,$$

where

$$f_m = \frac{2}{a_1} \int_0^{a_1} f(x_1) \sin m'x_1 dx_1 . \quad (3.50)$$

By (2.57), (3.9), (3.43) and (3.47) the stress resultants are found to be

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} N_{11}(x, t) \\ N_{22}(x, t) \\ N_{12}(x, t) \end{array} \right\} = -\frac{4k^2\alpha}{a_2} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \exp\left\{-\kappa(m'^2 + 4n'^2 + \eta_M)t\right\}}{m'^2 + 4n'^2 + \eta_N} \frac{(m'^2 + 4n'^2)^3 f_m}{(m'^2 + 4n'^2)^4 + k^2 (b_2 m'^2 + b_1 4n'^2)^2} \quad (3.51)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (2n')^3 \sin m'x_1 \sin 2n'x_2 \\ m'^2 2n' \sin m'x_1 \sin 2n'x_2 \\ m' 4n'^2 \cos m'x_1 \cos 2n'x_2 \end{array} \right\} ,$$

and similar expressions for  $M_{12}$  and  $Q_N$  are easily obtained. From the theory of Fourier series it may be shown in the usual manner [see, e.g., Friedman (1956), p.271] that  $\theta_N$  (3.49a) converges nonuniformly to the boundary values (3.47a). To this end we write (3.49a) as

$$\theta_N = 2 \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\pi} f_m \sin m'x_1 \sin 2n'x_2 \quad (3.52)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ n=1}}^{\infty} A(m, n) \sin m'x_1 \sin 2n'x_2 ,$$

where  $A(m, n) = \theta\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, the second series converges uniformly and is zero at  $x_2 = 0, a_2$ , while the first series converges to

$$\left(1 - \frac{2x_2}{a_2}\right) \sin m'x_1 f_m = \left(1 - \frac{2x_2}{a_2}\right) f(x_1) , \quad 0 < x_2 < a_2 \quad (3.53)$$

which approaches  $f(x_1)$  and  $-f(x_1)$  as  $x_2$  approaches 0 and  $a_2$ , respectively.

In a similar manner the remaining homogeneous boundary conditions (3.36) and (3.47) may be shown to be satisfied by (3.49) and (3.51) with the series

converging uniformly in each case. Note, however, that on  $x_2 = 0$  and  $x_2 = a_2$ ,  $N_{11}$  converges nonuniformly to the values  $-Eh \alpha f(x_1)$  and  $Eh \alpha f(x_1)$ , respectively.

#### 4. IMPROVED THEORY OF SHALLOW SHELLS. GREEN'S FUNCTIONS FOR AN UNLIMITED SHALLOW SPHERICAL SHELL.

4.1 Basic Equations. Formulation of Elastostatic Problems. We recall from the note by Naghdi (1956) that the effect of transverse shear deformation may be included in the theory of shallow shells [in a manner similar to Reissner's (1945) improved theory of flat plates] by replacing (2.18b) and (2.21) of the classical theory with

$$2\kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_{\alpha}\beta_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta}\beta_{\alpha}, \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$Q^{\alpha} = \frac{5Eh}{12(1+\nu)c} a^{\alpha\beta} (B_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta}w), \quad (4.2)$$

respectively. The components of  $\beta_{\alpha}$  represent the changes in slope of the normal to the shell middle surface, and the tracer  $c = 1$  is introduced in (4.2) to isolate the effect of transverse shear deformation in future manipulations. Also, in some equations it will be possible to let  $c = 0$  and obtain the corresponding equation for classical theory.

In the absence of tangential surface tractions ( $p^{\alpha} = 0$ ), (2.17a) leads in the usual manner to

$$N^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\alpha\lambda} \epsilon^{\beta\eta} \nabla_{\lambda} \nabla_{\eta} F, \quad (4.3)$$

where, by the compatibility equation associated with (2.18a), together with (2.19a) and (4.3), the Airy stress function  $F$  must satisfy

$$\nabla^2 \nabla^2 F + Eh \epsilon^{\alpha\lambda} \epsilon^{\beta\eta} \nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\lambda} \nabla_{\eta} w + Eh \alpha \nabla^2 \theta_N = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

just as in classical theory. Introduction of (2.19b), (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) into (2.17b,c) results in

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{5Eh}{12(1+\nu)c} (\nabla^{\alpha} \beta_{\alpha} + \nabla^2 w) + \epsilon^{\alpha\lambda} \epsilon^{\beta\eta} \nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} \nabla_{\lambda} \nabla_{\eta} F \\ + p = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$\frac{5Eh}{12(1+\nu)c} (\beta_\alpha + \nabla_\alpha w) - D A^{\alpha\beta\lambda\eta} \nabla_\eta \nabla_\beta \beta_\lambda + D \alpha \nabla^2 \theta_M = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

which contain the effect of transverse shear deformation. Next, by the two-dimensional version of the Stokes-Helmholtz theorem of vector analysis we may write

$$\beta_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha H' + a_{\alpha\eta} \epsilon^{\eta\lambda} \nabla_\lambda K', \quad (4.7)$$

and then (4.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 H' - \lambda^{-1} (H' + w) - (1 + \nu) \alpha \theta_M &= f, \\ \nabla^2 K' - \frac{10}{h^2 c} K' &= \frac{2}{1-\nu} g, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha f + a_{\alpha\eta} \epsilon^{\eta\lambda} \nabla_\lambda g &= 0, \quad \nabla^2 f = \nabla^2 g = 0, \\ \lambda &= \frac{ch^2}{5(1-\nu)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Letting

$$H = H' + \lambda f, \quad K = K' + \lambda g, \quad (4.10)$$

by (4.9), equations (4.7) and (4.8) read

$$\beta_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha H + a_{\alpha\eta} \epsilon^{\eta\lambda} \nabla_\lambda K, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\nabla^2 H - \lambda^{-1} (H + w) - (1 + \nu) \alpha \theta_M = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\nabla^2 K - \frac{10}{h^2 c} K = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

which are the same for all shallow shells, and (4.5) is

$$\lambda D (\nabla^2 H + \nabla^2 w) + \epsilon^{\alpha\lambda} \epsilon^{\beta\eta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta z \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\eta F + p = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Thus, all solutions in the improved theory of shallow shells may be represented in terms of  $F$ ,  $w$ ,  $H$ , and  $K$  which are governed by (4.4), (4.12), (4.13), and (4.14). It may be noted that for flat plates ( $z = 0$ ), (4.12) and (4.13) remain unchanged while (4.4) reduces to an equation for  $F$  alone and (4.14)

reduces to an equation containing  $H$  and  $w$ . For bending of flat plates the system (4.12), (4.13), and (4.14) with  $z = 0$  is equivalent to the formulation by Reissner (1945) which involves a stress function representation for  $Q^\alpha$ .

4.2 Green's Functions for an Unlimited Shallow Spherical Shell Under Normal Traction and Temperature Field. For a shallow spherical shell (of radius  $R$ ) referred to rectangular Cartesian coordinates  $x_\alpha$

$$z = \frac{-x_\alpha x_\alpha}{2R}, \quad \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta z = \frac{-\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{R}, \quad (4.15)$$

the basic equations (4.4) and (4.14) simplify somewhat, while (4.11) and (4.12) remain unchanged. For an unlimited shallow spherical shell the solution may be written in the integral form

$$w(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ w_p(x; x') p(x') + w_M(x; x') \theta_M(x') + w_N(x; x') \theta_N(x') \right] dx'_1 dx'_2, \quad (4.16)$$

and similar expressions for  $F$ ,  $H$ , and  $K$ , where the three sets of Green's functions  $w_p$ ,  $F_p$ ,  $H_p$ ,  $K_p$ ;  $w_M$ ,  $F_M$ ,  $H_M$ ,  $K_M$ ; and  $w_N$ ,  $F_N$ ,  $H_N$ ,  $K_N$  are solutions of the basic equations with  $p = \delta(x-x')$ ,  $\theta_M = \theta_N = 0$ ;  $\theta_M = \delta(x-x')$ ,  $p = \theta_N = 0$ ; and  $\theta_N = \delta(x-x')$ ,  $p = \theta_M = 0$ , respectively. Thus, all three sets of Green's functions may be obtained from solution of

$$\nabla^2 \nabla^2 F_G - \frac{Eh}{R} \nabla^2 w_G = - Eh \alpha \bar{\theta}_N \delta(x-x'), \quad (4.17a)$$

$$\lambda(\nabla^2 H_G + \nabla^2 w_G) - \frac{1}{RD} \nabla^2 F_G = - \frac{1}{D} \bar{p} \delta(x-x'), \quad (4.17b)$$

$$\nabla^2 H_G - \lambda^{-1} (H_G + w_G) = (1 + \nu) \alpha \bar{\theta}_M \delta(x-x'), \quad (4.17c)$$

$$\nabla^2 K_G - \frac{10}{h^2 c} K_G = 0, \quad (4.17d)$$

where  $\bar{p}$ ,  $\bar{\theta}_M$ , and  $\bar{\theta}_N$  are constants taken in turn as  $\bar{p} = 1$ ,  $\bar{\theta}_M = \bar{\theta}_N = 0$ ;  $\bar{\theta}_M = 1$ ,  $\bar{p} = \bar{\theta}_N = 0$ ; and  $\bar{\theta}_N = 1$ ,  $\bar{p} = \bar{\theta}_M = 0$ , for each set of Green's functions.

To solve (4.17) we introduce polar coordinates  $r$  and  $\theta$  through

$$x_1 - x_1' = r \cos \theta, \quad x_2 - x_2' = r \sin \theta, \quad (4.18)$$

and recall that

$$\delta(x-x') = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \delta(r) , \quad (4.19)$$

and the definition of the Laplacian may be extended to functions  $U(r)$  not regular at  $r = 0$  according to

$$\nabla^2 U = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial_0}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial_0 U}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\delta'(r)}{r} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r U + \frac{\delta(r)}{r} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r \frac{\partial_0 U}{\partial r} , \quad (4.20)^{**}$$

where  $\partial_0/\partial r$  indicates differentiation in the ordinary (not symbolic) sense.

With the aid of (4.19) and (4.20), (4.17) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \nabla^2 \nabla^2 F_G - \frac{Eh}{R} \nabla^2 w_G \right] + \frac{\delta(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\nabla^2 F_G - \frac{Eh}{R} w_G) \right] \\ & + \frac{\delta'(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r (\nabla^2 F_G - \frac{Eh}{R} w_G) \right] + \nabla^2 \frac{\delta(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r \frac{\partial_0 F_G}{\partial r} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{Eh\alpha}{2\pi} \bar{\theta}_N \right] + \nabla^2 \frac{\delta'(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r F_G \right] = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.21a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \lambda^{-1} (\nabla^2 H_G + \nabla^2 w_G) - \frac{1}{RD} \nabla^2 F_G \right] + \frac{\delta(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial_0}{\partial r} (\lambda^{-1} (H_G + w_G) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{RD} F_G) + \frac{p}{2\pi D} \right] + \frac{\delta'(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (\lambda^{-1} (H_G + w_G) - \frac{1}{RD} F_G) \right] = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.21b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \nabla^2 H_G - \lambda^{-1} (H_G + w_G) \right] + \frac{\delta(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r \frac{\partial_0 H_G}{\partial r} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(1+\nu)\alpha}{2\pi} \bar{\theta}_M \right] + \frac{\delta'(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r H_G \right] = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.21c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \nabla^2 K_G - \frac{10}{h^2 c} K_G \right] + \frac{\delta(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r \frac{\partial_0 K_G}{\partial r} \right] \\ & + \frac{\delta'(r)}{r} \left[ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r K_G \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.21d)$$

which are satisfied if each expression in brackets vanishes. The first brackets in (4.21a,b,c,d) lead to the general symmetric solution

---

<sup>\*\*</sup> The corresponding extended definition of the three-dimensional Laplacian is derived by Friedman (1959), p. 255.

$$w_G = -B_1 - B_2 \ln kr + (\lambda \zeta_1^2 - 1) \left[ B_3 I_0(\zeta_1 r) + B_4 K_0(\zeta_1 r) \right] + (\lambda \zeta_2^2 - 1) \left[ B_5 I_0(\zeta_2 r) + B_6 K_0(\zeta_2 r) \right], \quad (4.22a)$$

$$H_G = B_1 + B_2 \ln kr + B_3 I_0(\zeta_1 r) + B_4 K_0(\zeta_1 r) + B_5 I_0(\zeta_2 r) + B_6 K_0(\zeta_2 r), \quad (4.22b)$$

$$K_G = B_7 I_0 \left[ \frac{r}{h} \left( \frac{10}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] + B_8 K_0 \left[ \frac{r}{h} \left( \frac{10}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \quad (4.22c)$$

$$F_G = B_9 + B_{10} \ln kr + RD \left[ B_3 \zeta_1^2 I_0(\zeta_1 r) + B_4 \zeta_1^2 K_0(\zeta_1 r) + B_5 \zeta_2^2 I_0(\zeta_2 r) + B_6 \zeta_2^2 K_0(\zeta_2 r) \right], \quad (4.22d)$$

where  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{10}$  are constants and

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{matrix} \right\} = k \left[ \frac{k \lambda^2}{2} \pm i \left( 1 - \frac{k \lambda^2}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.23)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \zeta_1, \zeta_2 > 0, \quad k^4 = \frac{12(1-\nu^2)}{h^2 R^2}, \quad \frac{k \lambda^2}{2} = \frac{c}{5} \left[ \frac{3(1+\nu)}{1-\nu} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \frac{h}{R} < 1.$$

Recalling that

$$I_0(\zeta r) \rightarrow \frac{e^{\zeta r}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \zeta r}} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad \operatorname{Re} \zeta > 0, \quad (4.24)$$

then in order that  $w_G$  and  $K_G$  have at most a singularity of  $O(\ln r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $B_3 = B_5 = B_7 = 0$ , and without loss in generality,  $B_1 = B_9 = 0$ .

Further, since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r K_0(\zeta r) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \nabla^2 K_0(\zeta r) = 0, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K_0(\zeta r) &= -1, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \nabla^2 K_0(\zeta r) = -\zeta^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

(4.22) meet (4.21), provided

$$\begin{aligned} B_4 &= \frac{1}{2\pi(\zeta_1^2 - \zeta_2^2)} \left[ \frac{\bar{p}}{D} + Rk^4 \alpha_{\theta N} + \zeta_2^2 (1+\nu) \alpha_{\bar{\theta} M} \right], \\ B_6 &= \frac{-1}{2\pi(\zeta_1^2 - \zeta_2^2)} \left[ \frac{\bar{p}}{D} + Rk^4 \alpha_{\bar{\theta} N} + \zeta_1^2 (1+\nu) \alpha_{\bar{\theta} M} \right], \\ B_2 = B_8 &= 0, \quad B_{10} = \frac{\bar{p}R}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Thus, the solution (4.22) which determines the Green's functions is

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_G &= \frac{(\lambda \xi_1^2 - 1)}{2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2)} \left[ \frac{\bar{p}}{D} + Rk^4 \alpha \bar{\theta}_N + \xi_2^2 (1+\nu) \alpha \bar{\theta}_M \right] K_0(\xi_1 r) \\
 &\quad - \frac{(\lambda \xi_2^2 - 1)}{2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2)} \left[ \frac{\bar{p}}{D} + Rk^4 \alpha \bar{\theta}_N + \xi_1^2 (1+\nu) \alpha \bar{\theta}_M \right] K_0(\xi_2 r), \\
 F_G &= \frac{\bar{p}R}{2\pi} \ln kr + \frac{\xi_1^2}{2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2)} \left[ \bar{p}R + Eh \alpha \bar{\theta}_N \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + RD \xi_2^2 (1+\nu) \alpha \bar{\theta}_M \right] K_0(\xi_1 r) - \frac{\xi_2^2}{2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2)} \left[ \bar{p}R + Eh \alpha \bar{\theta}_N + RD \xi_1^2 (1+\nu) \alpha \bar{\theta}_M \right] K_0(\xi_2 r), \\
 H_G &= \frac{1}{2\pi(\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2)} \left[ \left( \frac{\bar{p}}{D} + Rk^4 \alpha \bar{\theta}_N + \xi_2^2 (1+\nu) \alpha \bar{\theta}_M \right) K_0(\xi_1 r) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \left( \frac{\bar{p}}{D} + Rk^4 \alpha \bar{\theta}_N + \xi_1^2 (1+\nu) \alpha \bar{\theta}_M \right) K_0(\xi_2 r) \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

To obtain corresponding results for the classical theory of shallow shells we neglect the effect of transverse shear deformation by setting  $c = 0$ , in which case for  $\bar{\theta}_M = \bar{\theta}_N = 0$  (4.27) reduces to the solution given by Reissner (1946) for an unlimited shallow spherical shell under concentrated load, and for  $\bar{p} = 0$  (4.27) reduces to the solutions given by Flügge and Conrad (1956) for concentrated temperature resultants.

With the aid of (4.25), in the neighborhood of  $r = 0$  (4.27) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_G &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left( \frac{\bar{p}}{D} + Rk^4 \alpha \bar{\theta}_N \right) \left[ (1 - \lambda^2 k^4) r^2 \ln kr - 4 \ln kr + \dots \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{(1+\nu) \alpha}{2\pi} \bar{\theta}_M \left[ \ln kr - \frac{1}{4} \lambda^2 k^4 r^2 \ln kr + \dots \right], \\
 F_G &= - \frac{R\lambda k^4}{8\pi} \bar{p} \left[ r^2 \ln kr + \dots \right] - \frac{Eh \alpha \bar{\theta}_N}{2\pi} \left[ \ln kr \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \lambda k^2 r^2 \ln kr + \dots \right] + \frac{(1+\nu) \alpha RDk^2}{8\pi} \bar{\theta}_N \left[ r^2 \ln kr + \dots \right], \\
 H_G &= - \frac{1}{8\pi D} \left( \frac{\bar{p}}{D} + Rk^4 \alpha \bar{\theta}_M \right) \left[ r^2 \ln kr + \dots \right] + \frac{(1+\nu) \alpha}{2\pi} \bar{\theta}_M \left[ \ln kr + \dots \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

which differs from the classical theory ( $c = \lambda = 0$ ). In particular, for the case  $\bar{\theta}_M = \bar{\theta}_N = 0$ , according to the improved theory  $w_p \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , while in the classical theory  $w_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . In this connection we recall that in most other derivations of singular solutions for concentrated loads in the classical theory of plates and shells, the requirement  $w_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  is imposed a priori; however, no such requirement is needed in the

foregoing treatment. Indeed, the requirement  $w_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  for the improved theory would lead to an incorrect result for the Green's function as seen from (4.28). It may be recalled that Green's functions also are unbounded at the source point in the three-dimensional theory of elasticity.

To see that the  $\bar{p}$  term in (4.27) represents a concentrated normal force at  $r = 0$ , by equilibrium considerations the total resultant normal force  $V_N^G$  on a vanishingly small circular region with center at  $r = 0$  is

$$V_N^G = - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{2\pi} (Q_r^G + \frac{r}{R} N_r^G) r d\theta. \quad (4.29)$$

By (4.2), (4.3), and (4.11) in polar coordinates with polar symmetry, we have

$$Q_r^G = \frac{D}{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (H_G + w_G), \quad N_r^G = \frac{\partial F_G}{\partial r}, \quad (4.30)$$

and by (4.28), (4.29) yields

$$V_N^G = \bar{p}, \quad (4.31)$$

as desired.

Green's functions for a flat plate with the effect of transverse shear deformation included cannot be obtained as a limiting case of (4.27) as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Instead, we must return to (4.21), let  $\frac{1}{R} = 0$ , and then in the same manner as for the shallow spherical shell we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_G &= \frac{\bar{p}}{8\pi D} \left[ r^2 \ln \frac{r}{h} - 4\nu (1 + \ln \frac{r}{h}) \right] - \frac{(1+\nu)\alpha}{2\pi} \bar{\theta}_M \ln \frac{r}{h}, \\ H_G &= -\frac{\bar{p}}{8\pi D} r^2 \ln \frac{r}{h} + \frac{(1+\nu)\alpha}{2\pi} \bar{\theta}_M \ln \frac{r}{h}, \\ F_G &= -\frac{Eh\alpha}{2\pi} \bar{\theta}_N \ln \frac{r}{h}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

When the effect of transverse shear deformation is neglected ( $c = \lambda = 0$ ), (4.32) reduces to known results for classical plate theory. For the flat plate the improved and classical theories give different fundamental singularities only for the Green's function  $w_p$ .



5. APPENDIX:  
EVALUATION OF CERTAIN DEFINITE INTEGRALS

Here we shall evaluate the definite integrals (3.20b) by contour integration around the unit circle in the complex  $z$  plane\* where

$$z = r e^{2i\phi} . \quad (5.1)$$

Thus, on the unit circle  $C$  ( $r = 1$ )

$$\sin \phi = \frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}} - z^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2i} , \quad \cos \phi = \frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}} + z^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2} ,$$

$$\cos 2m\phi = \frac{z^m + z^{-m}}{2} , \quad dz = 2iz d\phi ,$$

and for  $b_1 \neq b_2$  (3.20b) becomes

$$a_0(\pm k, \rho^2) = \frac{2}{\pi i (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)} \oint_C \frac{dz}{(z - \beta)(z - \beta^{-1})} , \quad (5.2)$$

$$a_m(\pm k, \rho^2) = \frac{2}{\pi i (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)} \oint_C \frac{(z^m + z^{-m}) dz}{(z - \beta)(z - \beta^{-1})} , \quad (5.3)$$

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \beta$  are defined in (3.21b). Consider

$$|\beta|^2 = \beta \bar{\beta} = \frac{|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 - \lambda_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 - \bar{\lambda}_1 \lambda_2}{|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 + \lambda_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 + \bar{\lambda}_1 \lambda_2} , \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\bar{\beta}$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $\beta$ . We may write  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  in the polar form

$$\lambda_1(\pm k, \rho^2) = R_1 e^{\pm i\psi_1} , \quad \lambda_2(\pm k, \rho^2) = R_2 e^{\pm i\psi_2} , \quad (5.5)$$

$$-\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \psi_1, \psi_2 \leq \frac{\pi}{4} ,$$

whence

$$\lambda_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 + \bar{\lambda}_1 \lambda_2 = 2 R_1 R_2 \cos(\psi_1 - \psi_2) , \quad (5.6)$$

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq (\psi_1 - \psi_2) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} .$$

Therefore, by (5.4) to (5.6)

$$\lambda_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 + \bar{\lambda}_1 \lambda_2 \geq 0 , \quad |\beta| \leq 1 , \quad (5.7)$$

---

\* This method is used, e.g., by Churchill (1948).

where the equality signs apply only when  $\rho = 0$  for a special subclass of (3.7).

Excluding for now the case  $\rho = 0$ , the integrand in (5.2) has a pole inside the unit circle only at  $z = \beta$ , hence by the residue theorem we have

$$a_0 (\pm k, \rho^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} . \quad (5.8)$$

Similarly, with the aid of the expansion

$$\frac{1}{(z - \beta)(z - \beta^{-1})} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^j \beta^{j-2k} z^j , \quad (5.9)$$

(5.3) becomes

$$a_m (\pm k, \rho^2) = \frac{\beta^m + \beta^{-m}}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - \frac{4}{(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \beta^{m-2k-1} , \quad (5.10)$$

$$m \geq 1 , \quad b_1 \neq b_2 .$$

For the special case  $\rho = 0$ , since  $a_m (\pm k, \rho^2)$  appears in the integrand of (3.2), it is sufficient to take

$$a_m (\pm k, 0) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} (\pm k, \rho^2) , \quad (5.11)$$

where, by (5.9) and (5.10) the limit in (5.11) exists for  $b_1 \neq b_2$ . For the spherical shell  $b_1 = b_2$ , by (3.21b),  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  and (3.20b) yields (5.8) and

$$a_m (\pm k, \rho^2) = 0, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (5.12)$$

Thus we have obtained the results expressed by (3.21a).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank Professor P.M. Naghdi for many stimulating discussions and for helpful advice on the preparation of this paper.




Figure 1. Time variation of the Green's function  $G_3^M(x; t; x'; t')$  at  $x_\alpha = x'_\alpha$  ( $r = 0$ ) according to quasi-static theory for unlimited, spherical, cylindrical, and hyperbolic paraboloidal shallow shells ( $\frac{h}{R} = \frac{h}{R'} = hb = \frac{1}{30}$ ,  $\nu = 0.3$ ) and for qualitative comparison according to dynamic theory ( $\frac{12K}{h} (\frac{E}{\rho})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll 1$ ) for an infinite flat plate.

## References

- Bergman, S., and M. Schiffer, 1953, Kernel Functions and Elliptic Differential Equations in Mathematical Physics (Academic Press, New York).
- Bolotin, V. V., 1960, "Equations for the Non-stationary Temperature Fields in Thin Shells in the Presence of Sources of Heat," Appl. Math. Mech. 24 (Transl. of Prik. Mat. i Mekh.), 515-519.
- Churchill, R. V., 1948, Introduction to Complex Variables and Applications (John Wiley, New York).
- Duhamel, J. M. C., 1838, "Mémoire sur le Calcul des Actions Moléculaires Développées par les Changements de Température dans les Corps Solides," Mem. par Div. Sav. a l'Acad. Roy. des Sci. de l'Inst. de France 5, 440-498.
- Erdélyi, A. (Editor), 1954, Tables of Integral Transformations, v. 1, Bateman Manuscript Project (McGraw-Hill, New York).
- Flügge, W., and D. A. Conrad, 1956, "Singular Solutions in the Theory of Shallow Shells," Tech. Rept. No. 101, Nonr 225(16), Div. of Engr. Mech., Stanford University.
- Flügge, W., and D. A. Conrad, 1958, "Thermal Singularities for Cylindrical Shells," Proc. Third U.S. Natl. Congr. Appl. Mech.
- Friedman, B., 1956, Principles and Techniques of Applied Mathematics (John Wiley, New York).
- Goodier, J. N., 1958, "Formulas for Overall Thermoelastic Deformations," Proc. Third U.S. Natl. Congr. Appl. Mech., 243-245.
- Goodier, J. N., and G. E. Nevill, Jr., 1961, "Applications of a Reciprocal Theorem on Linear Thermoelasticity," Tech. Rept. No. 128, Nonr 225 (29), Div. of Engr. Mech., Stanford University.
- Green, A. E., and W. Zerna, 1954, Theoretical Elasticity (Oxford Univ. Press, London).
- Marguerre, K., 1935, "Thermo-elastische Plattengleichungen," Z. ang. Math. Mech. 15, 369-372.
- Marguerre, K., 1938, "Zur Theorie der gekrümmten platte grosser Formänderung," Proc. Fifth Inter. Congr. Appl. Mech., 93-101.
- Naghdi, P. M., 1956, "Note on the Equations of Shallow Elastic Shells," Quart. Appl. Math. 14, 331-333.
- Naghdi, P. M., 1960, "On Saint Venant's Principle: Elastic Shells and Plates," J. Appl. Mech. 27, 417-422.

Naghdi, P. M., 1962, "Foundations of Elastic Shell Theory," ONR Tech. Rept. No. 15, University of California, Berkeley, IER Ser. 131, Issue 15, January 1962; to appear in Progress in Solid Mech.

Nordgren, R. P., and P. M. Naghdi, 1962, "Propagation of Thermoelastic Waves in an Unlimited Shallow Spherical Shell Under Heating," Proc. Fourth U. S. Natl. Congr. Appl. Mech. (to appear).

Parkus, H., 1959, Instationare Wärmespannungen (Springer-Verlag, Vienna).

Rabotnov, Yu. N., 1946, "Bending of a Cylindrical Shell by a Concentrated Load (in Russian), C. R. (Dokl.) Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.) 52, 229-301.

Reissner, E., 1941, "A New Derivation of the Equations for the Deformation of Elastic Shells," Am. J. Math. 63, 177-184.

Reissner, E., 1945, "The Effect of Transverse Shear Deformation on the Bending of Elastic Plates," J. Appl. Mech., Trans. ASME 67, A69-A70.

Reissner, E., 1946, "Stresses and Displacements of Shallow Spherical Shells II," J. Math. Phys. 25, 279-300.

Watson, G. N., 1944, A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions (Cambridge Univ. Press, London).

# DISTRIBUTION LIST

Contract Nonr-222(69), Project NR-064-436

Chief of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Code 439 (2)	Chief of Staff Department of the Army Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Development Br. (R and D Div.) (1) Research Br. (R and D Div.) (1) Spec. Weapons Br. (R and D Div.) (1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston 10, Massachusetts (1)	Office of the Chief of Engineers Department of the Army Washington 25, D.C. Attn: ENG-EL Lib. Br. Adm. Ser. Div. (1) ENG-ND Plan. Div. Civ. Wks. (1) ENG-EB Port Const. Br., Eng. Div. Mil. Const. (1) ENG-BA Struc. Br. Eng. Div., Mil. Const. (1) ENG-EB Spec. Engr. Br., Eng. R and D Div. (1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office John Crerar Library Building 86 E. Randolph Street Chicago 11, Illinois (1)	Commanding Officer Engineer Research Devel. Lab. Fort Belvoir, Virginia (1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, New York (1)	Office of the Chief of Ordnance Department of the Army Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Res. and Mats. Br. (Ord. R and D Div.) (1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 E. Green Street Pasadena, California (1)	Office of the Chief Signal Officer Department of the Army Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Engr. and Tech. Div. (1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 100 Geary Street San Francisco, California (1)	Commanding Officer Watertown Arsenal Watertown, Massachusetts Attn: Laboratory Division (1)
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Navy #100, Fleet F.O. New York, New York (25)	Commanding Officer Frankford Arsenal Bridgetown Station Philadelphia 37, Pennsylvania Attn: Laboratory Division (1)
Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Tech. Info. Officer (6) Code 6200 (1) Code 6205 (1) Code 6250 (1) Code 6260 (1)	Office of Ordnance Research 2127 Myrtle Drive Duke Station Durham, North Carolina Attn: Div. of Engr. Sci. (1)
Armed Services Techn'cal Info. Agency Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia (5)	Commanding Officer Squier Signal Laboratory Fort Monmouth, New Jersey Attn: Components and Materials (1)
Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D.C. (1)	Chief of Naval Operations Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attn: op 91 (1)
Director of Defense Research and Engineering The Pentagon Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Technical Library (1)	Chief, Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Code 312 (2) Code 370 (1) Code 375 (1) Code 420 (1) Code 423 (2) Code 442 (2) Code 425 (1)
Chief Armed Forces Special Weapons Project The Pentagon Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Tech. Info. Division (2) Weapons Effects Div. (1) Special Field Projects (1) Blast and Shock Br. (1)	Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attn: AE-4 (1) AV-34 (1) AD (1) AD-2 (1) RS-7 (1) RS-8 (1) ST (1) ARR-126 (1)
Office of the Secretary of the Army The Pentagon Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Army Library (1)	

Chief, Bureau of Ordnance  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D.C.

Attn: Ad3 (1)  
R&S (1)  
R&U (1)  
R&S5 (1)  
R&S1 (1)  
R&N (1)  
RRM (1)

Special Projects Office  
Bureau of Ordnance  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Missile Branch (2)

Chief, Bureau of Yards, Docks  
Department of the Navy  
Washington 25, D.C.

Attn: Code D-202 (1)  
Code D-202.5 (1)  
Code D-220 (1)  
Code D-222 (1)  
Code D-410C (1)  
Code D-440 (1)  
Code D-500 (1)

Commanding Officer and Director  
David Taylor Model Basin  
Washington 7, D.C.

Attn: Code 140 (1)  
Code 500 (1)  
Code 700 (1)  
Code 720 (1)  
Code 725 (1)  
Code 731 (1)  
Code 740 (2)

Commander  
U.S. Naval Ordnance Lab.  
White Oak, Maryland  
Attn: Technical Library (2)  
Technical Evaluation (1)

Director  
Materials Laboratory  
New York Naval Shipyard  
Brooklyn 1, New York (1)

Commanding Officer and Director  
U.S. Naval Electronics Laboratory  
San Diego 52, California (1)

Officer-in-Charge  
Naval Civil Engineering Research  
and Evaluation Laboratory  
U.S. Naval Const. Bldg. Center  
Fort Huachuca, California (2)

Director  
Naval Air Experiment Station  
Naval Air Material Center  
Naval Base  
Philadelphia 12, Pennsylvania  
Attn: Materials Laboratory (1)  
Structures Laboratory (1)

Officer-in-Charge  
Underwater Explosion Research  
Norfolk Naval Shipyard  
Portsmouth, Virginia  
Attn: Dr. A. N. Kell (2)

Commander  
U.S. Naval Proving Ground  
Dahlgren, Virginia (1)

Superintendent  
Naval Gun Factory  
Washington 25, D.C. (1)

Commander  
Naval Ordnance Test Station  
China Lake, California  
Attn: Physics Division (1)  
Mechanics Branch (1)

Commanding Officer  
Naval Ordnance Test Station  
Underwater Ordnance Division  
3202 E. Foothill Blvd.  
Pasadena 8, California (1)

Commanding Officer and Director  
U.S. Naval Engin. Exp. Station  
Annapolis, Maryland (1)

Superintendent  
U.S. Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, California (1)

Commandant  
Marine Corps Schools  
Quantico, Virginia  
Attn: Director, Marine Corps,  
Development Center (1)

Commander  
Air Materiel Command  
Wright-Patterson AFB  
Dayton, Ohio  
Attn: Chief, Appl. Mech. Group (1)

Director of Intelligence  
Headquarters U.S. Air Force  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: P.V. Branch (Air Tar. Div.) (1)

Commander  
Air Force Office of Scient. Res.  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Mechanics Division (1)

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Director of Research (2)

Director  
National Bureau of Standards  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Div. of Mechanics (1)  
Engin. Mech. Section (1)  
Aircraft Structures (1)

Commandant  
U.S. Coast Guard  
1500 E Street, N.W.  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Chief, Testing and  
Development Division (1)

U.S. Maritime Administration  
General Administration Office  
441 G Street, N.W.  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Chief, Division of  
Preliminary Design (1)

National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration  
1512 R Street, N.W.  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Loads and Structures (2)

Director, NASA  
Langley Research Center  
Langley Field, Virginia  
Attn: Structures Division (2)

Director  
Forest Products Laboratory  
Madison, Wisconsin (1)

Federal Aviation Agency  
Department of Commerce  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Chief, Aircraft Engr. (1)  
Chief, Airframe and Equip. (1)

National Sciences Foundation  
1520 R Street, N.W.  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Engr. Sciences Div. (1)

National Academy of Science  
2101 Constitution Avenue  
Washington 25, D.C.  
Attn: Tech. Direct., Comm. on  
Ships' Struc. Design (1)

Professor Lynn E. Beedle  
Fritz Engineering Laboratory  
Lehigh University  
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania (1)

Prof. R. L. Bisplinghoff  
Dept. of Aeronautical Engr.  
Massachusetts Inst. of Technology  
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)

Prof. H. N. Bleich  
Dept. Civil Engineering  
Columbia University  
New York 27, New York (1)

Prof. B. A. Boley  
Dept. Civil Engineering  
Columbia University  
New York 27, New York (1)

Dr. John F. Brahts  
Manager, Engineering Research  
Stanford Research Institute  
Southern California Labs.  
850 Mission Street  
South Pasadena, California (1)

Prof. B. Budiansky  
Dept. Mechanical Engineering  
School of Applied Sciences  
Harvard University  
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts

Professor G. F. Carrier  
Pierce Hall  
Harvard University  
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)

Professor G. F. Carrier  
Pierce Hall  
Harvard University  
Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)

Professor Herbert Derasiewicz  
Dept. Civil Engineering  
Columbia University  
632 W. 125th Street  
New York 27, New York (1)

Prof. Walter T. Daniels  
School of Engr. and Architecture  
Howard University  
Washington 1, D.C. (1)

Professor D. C. Drucker  
Division of Engineering  
Brown University  
Providence 12, Rhode Island (1)

Professor J. Erickson  
Dept. Mechanics  
Johns Hopkins University  
Baltimore 18, Maryland (1)

Professor A. C. Eringen  
Dept. Aeronautical Engineering  
Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana (1)

Professor W. Flugge  
Dept. Mechanical Engineering  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California (1)

Mr. Martin Goland, President  
Southwest Research Institute  
8500 Culebra Road  
San Antonio 6, Texas (1)

Professor J. N. Goodier  
Dept. Mechanical Engineering  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California (1)

Professor L. E. Goodman Engineering Experiment Station University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota (1)	Professor William A. Nash Dept. Engineering Mechanics University of Florida Gainesville, Florida (1)	Professor J. E. Cernak Dept. Civil Engineering Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado (1)
Professor M. Netanyl The Technological Institute Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois (1)	Professor M. M. Newmark, Head Dept. Civil Engineering University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois (1)	Professor W. J. Hall Dept. Civil Engineering University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois (1)
Professor F. G. Hodge Dept. Mechanics Illinois Inst. of Technology Chicago 16, Illinois (1)	Professor N. Crowan Dept. Mechanical Engineering Massachusetts Inst. of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)	Professor R. P. Harrington Dept. Aeronautical Engineering University of Cincinnati Cincinnati 21, Ohio (1)
Professor M. J. Hoff Div. Aeronautical Engineering Stanford University Stanford, California (1)	Professor Aris Phillips Dept. Civil Engineering 15 Prospect Street Yale University New Haven, Connecticut (1)	Commander WADD Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio Attn: WMEC (1) WMEHC (1) WMEHDC (1)
Professor W. E. Hoppman, II Department of Mechanics Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York (1)	Professor W. Frazer Div. Applied Mathematics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island (1)	Professor E. J. Brunelle, Jr. Dept. Aeronautical Engineering Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey (1)
Professor Bruce G. Johnston University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan (1)	Professor E. Reiss Institute of Mathematical Sci. New York University 25 Waverly Place New York 3, New York (1)	Commanding Officer WEMUCU Kirtland Air Force Base Albuquerque, New Mexico (1) Attn: Code 80
Professor J. Kampner Dept. Aeronautical Engr. and Applied Mechanics Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn 333 Jay Street Brooklyn 1, New York (1)	Professor Bernard W. Shaffer Dept. Mechanical Engineering New York University New York 55, New York (1)	
Professor R. L. Langhaar Dept. Theoretical and Applied Mech. University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois (1)	Professor E. Reissner Dept. Mathematics Massachusetts Inst. of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts (1)	
Professor B. J. Lagan, Director Engineering Experiment Station University of Minnesota Minneapolis 14, Minnesota (1)	Professor M. A. Sadowsky Dept. Mechanics Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York (1)	
Professor E. M. Lee Div. of Applied Mathematics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island (1)	Professor J. Stallmayer University of Illinois Department of Civil Engineering Urbana, Illinois (1)	
Professor George H. Lee Director of Research Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York (1)	Professor Eli Sternberg Dept. Applied Mathematics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island (1)	
Dr. E. Wank Office of Special Assistant for Science and Technology The White House Washington 25, D.C.	Professor T. Y. Thomas Graduate Inst. for Mathematics and Mechanics Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana (1)	
Mr. S. Levy General Electric Research Lab. 3198 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (1)	Professor A. S. Velesos Dept. Civil Engineering University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois (1)	
Mr. K. H. Koopmann, Secretary Welding Research Council for the Engineering Foundation 29 W. 95th Street New York 18, New York (2)	Professor Dana Young Yale University New Haven, Connecticut (1)	
Professor Paul Lieber Applied Mechanics University of California Berkeley 4, California (1)	Dr. D. C. Brush Structures Dept. 53-13 Lockheed Aircraft Corporation Missile Systems Division Sunnyvale, California (1)	
Professor Joseph Marin, Head Dept. Engineering Mechanics College of Engr. and Architecture Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania (1)	Professor John Duberg 4 Museum Drive New Fort News, Virginia (1)	
Professor P. M. Maghdi Applied Mechanics University of California Berkeley 4, California (1)	Professor J. R. M. Radak Dept. Aeronautical Engineering and Applied Mechanics 333 Jay Street Brooklyn 1, New York (1)	
	Commander Fortsomouth Naval Shipyard Fortsomouth, New Hampshire (1)	